























































utterances is informing to assert. Barack Obama often used informing kinds and asserting functions of representative acts. Barack Obama often used informing to assert type, because he intended to give an information about the facts of important things, which was happened in United States. The second is informing to report. In this case, Barack Obama often uses informing something which was proven by the additional facts, such as giving account of number, percentage and calculation.

The result of the first research problem in this research has similarity with Putri and Murni's research (2014). In their research, they found that the representative utterances are the most often used by ten performances of stand-up comedy in Indonesia. Their research showed that there were many types of representatives utterances that can be categorized into representative speech act containing to inform, insinuate, and criticize. For the first research problem, we have similar result, because representatives utterances have the same function to inform a person to convey his belief that some proposition is true. There are some point to interest the writer to compare this research and their research. In Putri and Murni's research, they analyzed performer utterances of Stand-up Comedy that use Indonesia Language. Most of the comedian talks about his personal observation and experience, some other comedians convey criticism on politics, religion, and race. In other hand, this research analyzed of utterance Barack Obama in debate that use English Language. Most of Obama's utterances shows that he intended to give important information based on the fact of situation, which was happened in their nation, United States. This is shows that this research

and Putri and Murni's research has similar results, whereas our source of data are different, but from different languages, we have similar results. Especially in speech.

Based on the findings of the second research problem about the functions of representative utterances. In the research conducted by Sakdiyah (2014), there is a similarity and difference in the result. The similarity of this research is Hamm and Clov in Endgame drama most often used representative utterances. The source of data Sakdiyah's research is drama performance. Her research showed that there were many types of representative utterances used by Hamm and Clov in Endgame drama such as informing, denying, and stating. Thus, the results of the second research problem in this study had similar topic with research conducted by Sakdiyah. That is because representative utterances have the same function to inform a person conveys his belief that some proposition is true.

The difference between this research and Sakdiyah's research is Sakdiyah analyzed the function in general but the researcher analyzed it in more detail. In Sakdiyah's research, the often used function is competitive function. Hamm and Clov in drama mostly used to order, to ask, to demand and to beg something. But in this research explained in detail just focused on kind of representative acts that has function is collaborative illocutionary acts. The result of this research is Barrack Obama mostly used to inform, suggest, and deny something. Thus, the results of the second research problem in this study had difference topic with research conducted by Sakdiyah. That is because Sakdiyah's research analyzed with the function of illocutionary acts in general, but in this research just focus on

