REFERENCES

- Abdi, R. (2011). Metadiscouse Strategies in Research Articles: A Study of The Differences Across Subsections. The Journal of Teaching Language Skill. Ser. 62/4
- Attarn, Atena. (2014). Study of Metadiscourse in ESP Articles: A Comparison of English Articles written by Iranian and English Native Speaker. International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research, Vol. 5, No. 1
- Crismore, A., Markanen, R., & Steffensen, M. (1993). Metadiscourse in persuasive writing: A study of texts written by American and Finnish university students. *Written Communication*, 10, 39-71.
- Dafouz, Emma. 2007. The pragmatics role of textual and interpersonal metadiscourse markers in the construction and attainment of persuasion: A cross-linguistic study of newspaper discourse. Journal of Pragmatics in, 40, 95-113, October 5th, 2007. Retrieved on June 19th, 2015 from www.elsivier.com/rocate/pragma
- Heng, C.S. and Tan, Helen. 2010. Extracting and comparing the intricacies of metadiscourse of two written persuasive corpora. International Journal of Education and Development using Information and Communication Technology (IJEDICT), 2010, Vol. 6, Issue 3, pp. 124-146.
- https://almanhaj.or.id/3197-menjaga-lisan-agar-selalu-berbicara-baik.html. Retrieved at 23 January 2017
- http://www.unco.edu/osp/proposal/docs/congress.pdf. Retrieved at 23 Agustus 2016
- Hyland, Ken. (2005). *Metadiscourse*. London & New York: Continuum.
- Hyland, Ken. 1997. *Persuasion and context: The pragmatics of academic metadiscourse.* Journal of Pragmatics 30, 437-455, 12 November 1997. Retrieved on June 19th, 2015 from www2.caes.hku.hk/kenhyland/files/2012/08/metadisc.jop_.pdf
- Hyland, K. (1998). Persuasion and context: The pragmatics of academic metadiscourse. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 30, 437-455.
- Hyland, K. (1999). Talking to students: Metadiscourse in introductory course books. *English for Specific Purposes*, 18(1), 3-26.

- Hyland, K., & Tse, P. (2004). Metadiscourse in academic writing: A reappraisal. *Applied Linguistics*, 25(2), 156-177
- Khedri, M., Chan, S. H., & Helen, T. (2015). Interpersonal-driven Features in Research Article Abstracts: Cross-disciplinary Metadiscoursal Perspective. Pertanika Journal. Vol. 23, No. 2
- Litosseliti, Lia. (2010). Research Method in Linguistics.
- Quran-Terjemah.org (software)
- Sukma, B.P. and Sujatna E.T. 2014. Interpersonal Metadiscourse Markers in Opinion Articles: A Study of Texts Written by Indonesian Writers. International Journal of Applied Linguistics & English Literature, ISSN 2200-3592 (Print), ISSN 2200-3452, Vol. 3 No. 2; March 2014. Retrieved on June 19^{th,} 2015 from http://dx.doi.org/10.7575/aiac.ijalel.v.3n.2p.16
- Thompson, G., & Thetela, P. (1995). The sound of one hand clapping: The management of interaction in written discourse. *Text*, 15 (1), 103-127.
- Thompson, G. (2001). Interaction in academic writing: Learning to argue with the reader. *Applied Linguistics*, 22(1), 58-78.
- Toumi, Naouel. 2009. A Model for the Investigation of Reflexive Metadiscourse in Research Article. Language Studies Working Papers, ISSN 2040-3461, Vol. 1 (2009) 64-73.
- Vande Kopple, W. J. V. (1985). Some exploratory discourse on metadiscourse. *College Composition and Communication*, *36*(1), 82-93.
- Yipei, Nan and Lingling, Liu. 2013. *Investigating the interpersonal and textual meaning of Steve Jobs' Stanford speech in terms of Hyland's metadiscourse theory*. International Journal of Language and Linguistics, Vol. 1, No. 4, 2013, pp. 90-96, October 30, 2013. Retrieved on June 19th, 2015 from www.sciencepublishinggroup.com/j/ijll