

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Aiken, L.R. (1994). *Psychological Testing and Assessment*, (Eight Edition), Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Akamca, G.O., Ellez, A.M., Hamurcu, H. 2009. Effects Of Computer Aided Concept Cartoons On Learning Outcomes. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences* 1 (2009) 296–301.
- Aknipar, E., Yildiz, E., Tatar, N., & Ergin, O. 2009. Students' attitude toward science and technology: An investigation of gender, grade level and academic achievement. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 1, 2804-2808.
- Alake, E.M And Ogunseemi, O.E. (2013). Effects of Scaffolding Strategy on Learners' Academic Achievement in Integrated Science At The Junior Secondary School Level. *European Scientific Journal Vol.9*, No.19.
- Alake, E.M. (2007). Effect of concept mapping on students' performance in the teaching of controlling the environment. *Science Teachers Association of Nigeria, Integrated Science Education Series 5*. p 10-14.
- Alber, R. (2014). *Scaffolding Strategies to Use With Your Students*. USA: George Lucas Educational Foundation.
- American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS)*. (1989). *Science for all Americans*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Anderson, L.W., dan Krathwohl, D.R. (2001). *A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assesing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educationl Objectives*. New York: Addison Wesley Longman, Inc.
- Arends, R.I. (2009). *Learning to Teach 5th Edition*. USA: The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc
- Arikunto. S. (2006). *Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktik*, Ed Revisi VI, Penerbit PT Rineka Cipta, Jakarta.
- Atsnan, M.F., dan Rahmita, T.G. 2013. Penerapan pendekatan Scientific dalam Pembelajaran Matematika SMP Kelas Vii Materi Bilangan (Pecahan). Yogyakarta: UNY.

- Azevedo, R. & Hadwin, A. F. (2005). Scaffolding self-regulated learning and metacognition-implications for the design of computer-based scaffolds. *Instructional Science* 33, 367–379.
- Azevedo, R., Moos, D. C., Greene, J. A., Winters, F. I., & Cromley, J. G. 2008. Why is externally facilitated regulated learning more effective than self-regulated learning with hypermedia? *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 56(1), 45–72
- Azwar, S. (2014). *Metode Penelitian*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Baharom S, Hamid R, Hamzah N. (2012). Development of a Problem Based Learning in Concrete Technology Laboratory Work. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 60 p. 8-13.
- Bannert, M., Hildebrand, M., & Mengelkamp, C. (2009). Effects of a metacognitive support device in learning environments. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 25(4), p. 829–835.
- Barrow, L.H. 2010. Encouraging Creativity with Scientific Inquiry. *Creative Education* 1, p. 1-6.
- Basu, S., Biswas, G., Sengupta, P. (2012). Scaffolding to support learning of ecology in simulation environments. USA: Vanderbilt University
- Bati, K., Erturk, G., & Kaptan, F. (2009). The awareness level of pre-school education teachers regarding science process skill. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences* 2.
- Bell, F. H. (1978). *Teaching and Learning Mathematic in Secondary School*. Iowa: Win C. Brown Company.
- Bell, P., & Davis, E..A. 2000. Designing Mildred: Scaffolding Students' Reflection and Argumentation Using a Cognitive Software Guide. In B. Fishman & S. O'Connor-Divelbiss (Eds.), (pp. 142-149). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Beydoğan, H. Ö. 2001. Öğretimi Planlama ve Değerlendirme. Eser Ofset, Erzurum. Committee on Undergraduate Science Education (1997). *Science Teaching Reconsidered: A Handbook*. National Academy Press, Washington. (This report is available on-line at <http://www.nap.edu/readingroom/books>.)
- Brill, G and Anat Y. 2003. Learning Biology Through Research Papers: A Stimulus for Question-Asking by High-School Students. *Cell Biology Education* Vol. 2, 266–274

- Bruner, J. 2001. Constructivist Theory. [http://www. TIP. Htm](http://www.TIP.Htm). Diakses tanggal 23 November 2013.
- Bryan, J. (2006). Technology for physics instruction. *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education*, 6(2), p. 230-245.
- Budiningsih, C. A. (2005). Belajar dan Pembelajaran. Jakarta: PT. Rineka Cipta.
- Carey, S. (2000). Science Education as Conceptual Change. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 21 (1): 13-19.
- Çepni, S., Ayase, A., Johnson, D., Turgut, M.F. (1996). *Teaching Physics*. Ankara: National Education Development Project Pre-Service Teacher Training Trial Edition.
- Chin, C. (2001). Learning in Science: What Do Students' Questions Tell Us About Their Thinking? *Education Journal Vol. 29*, No. 2.
- Chin, C. (2002). Student-Generated Questions: Encouraging Inquisitive Minds in Learning Science. *Teaching and Learning, Vol. 23, No. 1* p. 59-71.
- Chin, C., & Brown, D. E. 2000. Learning in science: A comparison of deep and surface approaches. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 37(2), 109–138.
- Choi, I., Land, S. M., & Turgeon, A. J. (2005). Scaffolding peer-questioning strategies to facilitate metacognition during online small group discussion. *Instructional Science* 33, p. 483–511.
- Cobb, P. (1994). Where is The Mind Constructivist an Sociocultural Perspective on Mathematical Development. *Educational Research* 23 (7) p. 1320.
- Costa, J., Caldeira, H., Gallástegui, J. R., and Otero, J. (2000). An analysis of question asking on scientific texts explaining natural phenomena. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching Volume 37, Issue 6*, pages 602–614.
- Creswell, J. (2007). *Qualitative Inquiry & Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches*, 2nd ed. California : Sage Publication.
- Crocker, L. & Algina, J. (2008). Introduction to Classical and Modern Test, Theory. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc
- Dabell, J. (2004). *The Maths Coordinator's File- Using Concept Cartoons*. London: PFP Publishing.
- Dahar, R.W. (2011). Teori-teori Belajar dan Pembelajaran. Jakarta: Erlangga

- Delismar, Ashyar R, dan Hariyadi B. (2013). Peningkatan Kreativitas dan Keterampilan Proses Sains Siswa melalui Penerapan Model Group Investigation. *Edu-Sains Volume 1 No 2* tahun 2013
- Depdikbud. 2013. Pendekatan Saintifik. http://pengawas.madrasah.files.wordpress.com/2013/11/10/pendekatan_saintifik.pdf
- Dewi, dkk. (2014). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Circuit Learning Berbantuan Media Audiovisual Terhadap Hasil Belajar Ips Siswa Sd Negeri 1 Pejeng Tahun Pelajaran 2013/2014. *Jurnal Mimbar PGSD Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha Jurusan PGSD (Vol: 2 No: 1 Tahun 2014)*.
- Dimopoulos, K., Koulaidis, V., Sklaveniti, S. (2003). Towards an analysis of visual images in school science textbooks and press articles about science and technology. *Research in Science Education 33* p.189–216,
- Dogru, M. 2008. The Application of Problem Solving Method on Science Teacher Trainees on the Solution of the Environmental Problems. *Journal of Environmental & Science Education*, 2008, 3 (1), 9 – 18
- Dori, Y. J., & Herscovitz, O. (1999). Question-posing capability as an alternative. *Education*, 19(7), p. 781–799.
- Dresner, M., de rivera, C., Fuccillo, K.K., and Chang, C. (2013). Improving Higher-Order Thinking And Knowledge Retention In Environmental Science Teaching. BioScience Advance Access publisher
- Duncan, G., & Met, M. (2010). STARTALK: From paper to practice. College Park, MD: National Foreign Language Center at the University of Maryland.
- Eggen, P and Kauchak, D. (2001). *Educational Psychology: Classroom Connections*. 5th ed. New York: Macmillan.
- El Imane, N.B. (2013). Conceptualizing Essay Tests` Reliability and Validity: From Research to Theory. Cina: Huazhong Normal University
- Ertmer, P. A., & Cennamo, K. C. (1995). Teaching instructional design: An apprenticeship approach. *Performance Improvement Quarterly*, 8(4) p. 45-58
- Etemadzadeh, A., Seifi, S., and Far, H.R. (2013). The role of questioning technique in developing thinking skills: The ongoing effect on writing skills. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences 70* p. 1024 – 1031

- Fadel, C. 2008. *Multimodal Learning Through Media: What the Research Says*. Metiri group. Global Lead, Education; Cisco Systems, Inc
- Fraenkel, R.J, & Wallen, N.C. (2006). *How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education*. London: Mc. Graw Hill, inc
- Fretz, E.B., Hsin, K.W., BaoHui, Z., Elizabeth, A.D., Joseph, S.K. (2002). An investigation of software scaffolds supporting modeling practices. *Research in Science Education* 32 p.567–589.
- Gaskins, I.Q., Rauch, S., Gensemer, E., Councilli, E., O’Hara, C., Six, L., and Scott. (1997). Scaffolding the development of intelligence among children who are delayed in learning to read in K. Hogan and M. Pressly (Eds), scaffolding students learning. *Instructional Aproaches and Issues* p.43-73
- Gbodi, B.E., and Laleye, A.M. (2006). Effect of videotaped instruction on learning of integrated science. *Journal of Research in Curriculum and Teaching* 1(1) p. 10-19.
- Gilbert, J.K. (2010). The role of visual representations in the learning and teaching of science. *Asia-Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching, Volume 11, Issue 1*
- Gooding, D., Pinch, T., and Schaffer, S. (1989). *The Uses Of Experiment: Studies In The Natural Science*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Graham, S., & Weiner, B. (1996). Theory and principles of motivation. In D. C. Berliner & R. C. Calfee (Eds.), *Handbook of educational psychology* (pp. 63-84). New York: Macmillan.
- Gronlund, N. E. (2003). *Assessment of student achievement* (7th ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Hannafin, M., Land, S., & Oliver, K. (1999). Open-ended learning environments: foundations, methods, and models. *Instructional design theories and models: Volume II* p. 115–140).
- Harlen, W. (2013). *Effective Teaching of Science. A Review of Research*. Edinburgh: Scottish Council for Research in Education
- Hayat, B. dan Yusuf, S. 2010. *Bechmark International Mutu Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Hess, K. 2008. Developing and using learning progressions as a schema for measuring progress. Paper presented at 2008 CCSSO Student Assessment

- Conference, Orlando, FL. Retrieved from http://www.nciea.org/publications/CCSSO2_KH08.pdf
- Hess, K. 2013. Science Teacher Toolkit Grades K-8. <http://www.exemplars.com/resources/alignments>
- Hinduan, A.A. (2003). "Meningkatkan Kualitas SDM Melalui Pendidikan IPA". Makalah pada Seminar Himpunan Sarjana Pendidikan IPA Indonesia, di Jurusan Pendidikan Fisika FMIPA UPI Bandung tanggal 1-2 Agustus 2003.
- Hmelo-Silver, C. E., Duncan, R. G., & Chinn, C. A. (2007). Scaffolding and achievement in problem-based and inquiry learning: A response to Kirschner, Sweller, and Clark (2006). *Educational Psychologist*, 42, 99–107.
- Hofstein, A. (2012). Teachers' Professional Development for Inquiry-Based Learning.. Tbilisi: Ilia State University Georgia.
- Hofstein, A. and Mamlok-Naaman, R. (2007). "The Laboratory in Science Education: The State of The Art". *Chemistry Education Reserach and Practice*. 8, (2), 105-107.
- Hogan, K., & Pressley, M. (1997). *Scaffolding Student Learning: Instructional Approaches And Issues*. Cambrid: Brookline.
- Hohenberg, P. 2010. What is Science? New York: New York University
- Holbert, KE and George GK. 2008. Removing an Unsupported Statement in Engineering Education Literature Arizona: Arizona State University
- Holbrook, J., & Kolodner, J.L. (2000). *Scaffolding the Development of an InquiryBased (Science) Classroom*. In B. Fishman & S. O'Connor-Divelbiss.
- Howe, A. (2006). *Development of Science Concept within Vygotskian Framework*. *Science Education*. Singapore: John Willey and Son. <http://www.21stCenturySkill.org>. [19 September 2008].
- Hussain Z, Sekuler A B, Bennett PJ. (2011). Superior Identification Of Familiar Visual Patterns A Year After Learning. *Psychol. Sci.* 22, 2273– 2284.
- Jager, T. (2012). *Using Visual Media to Enhance Science Teaching and Learning in Historically Disadvantaged Secondary Schools*. South Africa: Tshwane University of Technology.

- Jena AK. (2012). Does Constructivist Approach Applicable Through Concept Maps To Achieve Meaningful Learning in Science? Asia-Pacific Forum on Science
- Jirout, J., & Klahr, D. (2011). *Children's Question Asking And Curiosity: A Training Study*. SREE Fall 2011 Conference Abstract Template.
- Joyce, B. R., Weil, M., & Calhoun, E. (2000). *Models of teaching* (6th ed.). Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Kahle, J.B and Damjanovic, A. (1994). The Effect of Inquiry Activities on Elementary Students' Enjoyment, Ease, and Confidence in Doing Science: An Analysis by Sex and Race. *Journal of Women and Minorities in Science and Engineering*, vol 1 no 1 p. 17-28
- Kane, E.M. (2013). Urban Student Motivation through Inquiry-Based Learning. *Journal of Studies in Education*. Vol. 3, No. 1
- Karar, E. E. & Yenice, N. (2012). The investigation of scientific process skill level of elementary education 8th grade students in view of demographic features. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 46, 3885–3889.
- Kartikasari, R. (2011). *Penerapan Pendekatan Kontekstual (Contextual Teaching And Learning) dengan Metode Eksperimen Untuk Meningkatkan Keterampilan Proses Sains Siswa Kelas VIII SMP Negeri 14 Surakarta Tahun Pelajaran 2010/2011 (Skripsi tidak diterbitkan)*. Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Universitas Sebelas Maret Surakarta.
- Keeling, E.L., Kelly, M.P., and Ella, L.I. (2009). A statistical analysis of student questions in a cell biology laboratory. *CBE-Life Sciences Education*
- Kitot, A.K.A., Ahmad, A.R., Seman, A.A. 2010. The Effectiveness of Inquiry Teaching in Enhancing Students' Critical Thinking. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences* 7(C) (2010) 264–273
- Klosterman, M. L., & Sadler, T. D. (2010). Multi-level assessment of scientific content knowledge gains associated with socioscientific issues based instruction. *International Journal of Science Education* 32, p.1017-1043.
- Knutson, K., Smith, J., Nichols, P., Wallert, M.A., and Provost, J.J. (2010). Bringing the excitement and motivation of research to students; Using inquiry and research-based learning in a year-long biochemistry laboratory. *Biochem Mol Biol Educ*. 38(5) p.324-9.
- Kuhn, D and Pease, M. 2015. What Needs to Develop in the Development of Inquiry Skills? *Cognition and Instruction*, in press

- Kuhn, D., Garcia-Mila, M., Zohar, A., & Andersen, C. (2000). Strategies of knowledge acquisition.
- Kurniasih, A.W. (2012). *Scaffolding* sebagai Alternatif Upaya Meningkatkan Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Matematika *Jurnal Kreano*, Volume 3 No. 2
- Lajoie, S.P. (2005). Extending the scaffolding metaphor. *Instructional Science* 33 p. 541-557
- Lakkala, M., Muukkonen, H., & Hakkarainen, K. (2005). Patterns of Scaffolding In Computermediated Collaborative Inquiry. *Mentoring and Tutoring: Partnerships in Learning*, 13(2). p.281–300.
- Lati, W., Supasorn, S., and Promarak, V. 2012. Enhancement of learning achievement and integrated science process skills using science inquiry learning activities of chemical reaction rates. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 46 (2012) 4471 – 4475
- Lave, J. & Wenger, E. (1991). *Situated learning: legitimate peripheral participation*. Cambridge, MA: Cambridge University Press.
- LeDoux, J. E. (1999). Psychoanalytic theory: clues from the brain. *Neuro-Psychoanalysis*, 1 p. 44–49
- Lee, C. D. (2001). Is October Brown Chinese? A cultural modeling activity system for underachieving students. *American Educational Research Journal*, 38, 97–141
- Lee, C.S. and Kolodner, J.L. (2011). Scaffolding Students' Development of Creative Design Skills: A Curriculum Reference Model. *Educational Technology & Society*, 14 (1), 3–15.
- Lee, T. 2001. Scaffolding To Develop Problem-Solving And Self-Help Skills In Young Children. Illinois: Texas Child Care.
- Leinhardt, G., & Schwarz, B. B. (1997). Seeing the problem: An explanation from Polya. *Cognition and Instruction* 15 p.395–434.
- Li, D. D., & Lim, C. P. 2008. Scaffolding online historical inquiry tasks: A case study of two secondary school classrooms. *Computers & Education*, 50(4), 1394-1410.
- Lin, X., & Lehman, J. D. 1999. Supporting Learning Of Variable Control In A Computer-Based Biology Environment: Effects of prompting college

- McCormick, R. 1996. *Instructional methodology*. In: Williams J & Williams A (eds). *Technology Education for teachers*. Melbourne: MacMillan.
- McNeill, K. L., Lizotte, D.J., Krajcik, J., & Marx, R.W. (2005). Supporting Students' Construction of Scientific Explanations By Fading Scaffolds in Instructional Materials. *The Journal of the Learning Sciences*.
- Mehrens, W.A., & Lehmann, I.J. (1991). *Measurement and evaluation in education and psychology*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston. Inc
- Meltzer, D.E. (2002). The Relationship between Mathematics Preparation and Conceptual Learning Gains in Physics. A Possible Hidden Variable in Diagnostic Pretest Score. *American Journal Physics*. Vol 70. NO. 12
- Miao, Y., Jan, E., Adam, G., Stefan & Ulrich, H. (2012). Development of a process-oriented scaffolding agent in an open-ended inquiry learning environment. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning* Vol. 7, No. 2 p. 105-128.
- Miller, GA. 2003. The Cognitive Revolution: A Historical Perspective. *TRENDS in Cognitive Sciences* Vol.7 No.3 March 2003
- Miska, A. (2004). *Classroom Modeling: Scaffolding Learning or Stifling? An Inquiry*. <https://www.ed.psu.edu/englishpds/inquiry/projects/miska04.htm>.
- Monica, K.M.M. (2005). *Development and Validation of a test of Integrated Science Process Skills for the Further Education and Training Learners*. Pretoria South Africa (Disertasi the Degree of Master of Science in Science Education in the Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences University tidak diterbitkan).
- Mora, JK. 2000. *Teaching Conceptual and Vocabulary*. San Diego University. [http://coe.sdsu.edu/people/jmora/concepts Tch.htm](http://coe.sdsu.edu/people/jmora/concepts_Tch.htm). diakses tanggal 10 April 2013.
- Moreno, R. (2010). *Educational Psychology*. USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Moreno, R., & Mayer, R. (1999). "Cognitive principles of multimedia learning: The role of modality and contiguity". *Journal of Educational Psychology* 91 (2) p. 358–368.
- Murwani, S. dan Sudarisman, S. (2010). *Perbedaan Pengaruh Pendekatan Contextual Teaching And Learning Dengan Metode Eksperimen Lapangan Dan Eksperimen Laboratorium Terhadap Prestasi Belajar Peserta Didik Klas X Di SMA Negeri 2 Yogyakarta*. Surakarta: Prodi P Biologi FKIP UNS Surakarta.

- Najjar, L. J. (2005). *A Review of the Fundamental Effect of Multimedia Graphic, Visualization, and Usability Laboratory*. Atlanta:Georgia Institute of Technology. <http://www.cc.gatech.edu/gvu/>. Diakses tanggal 3 Oktober 2012.
- National Research Council*. (1996). *National Science Education Standards*. Washington: National Academy Press.
- Nieveen, N., McKenney, S., Van D. Akker (2007). "Educational design research" dalam *Educational design research*. New York: Routledge
- Novita, G.A.D.L., Sudana, D.N., Riatini, P.N. (2014). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran PBL terhadap Keterampilan Proses Sains Siswa Kelas V SD Di Gugus IV Diponegoro Kecamatan Mendoyo. *Jurnal Mimbar PGSD Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha Vol: 2 No 1 Tahun 2014*.
- Nur, M. (2011). Strategi-strategi Belajar. Disadur dari chapter 6. *Learning and Study Strategies Buku Classroom Web-Based Approach In Higher Education*
- Nur, M. (2012). Teori-teori Belajar dan Implikasinya dalam Pendekatan Pengajaran Fisika yang Interaktif. Makalah yang dipresentasikan dalam Seminar Nasional Pendidikan Fisika di FKIP Universitas Riau 14 Januari 2012.
- Nurohman, S. (2009). Penerapan Seven Jump Method Sebagai Upaya Peningkatan Keterampilan Proses Sains Mahasiswa. Skripsi UNY tidak diterbitkan.
- Oh, P. S. (2005). Discursive roles of the teacher during class sessions for students presenting their science investigations. *International Journal of Science Education*, 27, 1825–1851
- Ohora, C.J., (2007). *Observation: The First Step in the Scientific Method*. Pennsylvania: Academic Standards.
- Olsher, G., & Dreyfus, A. (1999). Biotechnologies as a context for enhancing junior high-school students' ability to ask meaningful questions about abstract biological processes. *International Journal of Science Education*, 21(2), 137–153.
- Orion, N. (1993). A practical model for the development and implementation of field trips, as an integral part of the science curriculum. *School Science and Mathematics*, 93,325-331.

- Orion, N. (2007). A Holistic Approach for Science Education For All. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 2007, 3(2), 111-118
- Özgelen, S. (2012). Students' Science Process Skills within a Cognitive Domain Framework. . *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 2012, 8(4), 283-292
- Padilla, Michael J. (1990). The Scientific Process [Versi Elektronik]. Research Matters-to the Science Teacher Publication No. 9004, March 1, 1990
- Pea, R. (2004). The social and technological dimensions of scaffolding and related theoretical concepts for learning, education and human activity. *Journal of the Learning Sciences* 13 p. 423–451.
- Piaget, J. (1988). *Antara Tindakan dan Pikiran. Terjemahan Agus Cremers*. Jakarta: Gramedia.
- Polman, J & Roy D P. (2010). Scaffolding Science Inquiry through Transformative Communication. Northwestern University. Paper presented at the NARST Annual Meeting Oak Brook.
- Powell, K., & Kalina, C. 2009. Cognitive and social constructivism: Developing tools for any effective classroom. *Journal of Education*, 130(2), 241-250.
- Primarinda, I. (2012). *Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Kooperatif Group Investigation (GI) Terhadap Keterampilan Proses Sains Dan Hasil Belajar Biologi Siswa Kelas X SMA Negeri 4 Surakarta* (Skripsi tidak diterbitkan) Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta.
- Puntambekar, S., & Hübscher, R. (2005). Tools for scaffolding students in a complex learning environment: What have we gained and what have we missed? *Educational Psychologist* 40 p. 1–12.
- Putnam, C., O'Donnell, K., and Bertozzi, N. 2010. *Scaffolding And Fading within and Across A Six-Semester Cdio Design Sequence*. Proceedings of the 6th International CDIO Conference, École Polytechnique, Montréal, June 15-18, 2010
- Quintana, C & Barry J. F. (2006). *Supporting Science Learning and Teaching with Software-based Scaffolding*. Michigan: Michigan Center for Highly Interactive Computing, Curricula, and Classrooms in Education School.
- Quintana, C., Eng, J., Carra, A., Wu, H., & Soloway, E. (1999). *A case study in extending learner centered Design through process-space analysis*. In

- Rustaman, N. 2007. Kemampuan Dasar Bekerja Ilmiah dalam Pendidikan Sains dan Assesmennya. Bandung: Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.
- Rustaman, N. (2007). "Basic Scientific Inquiry In Science Education And Its Assesment". Seminar Proceeding of The First International Seminar of *Monograph of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 60(4), Serial No. 245.
- Rutherford, F. J., & Ahlgren, A. (1990). Science for all Americans. Washington, DC: AAAS.
- Ryan, M. 2001. The Scientific Method. USA: University of Nevada
- Sabahiyah, Marhaeni, A.A.I.N., Suastra. I.W. (2013). Pengaruh model pembelajaran inkuiri terbimbing terhadap keterampilan proses sains dan penguasaan konsep ipa siswa kelas v gugus 03 wanasaba lombok timur. *e-Journal Program Pascasarjana Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha Program Studi Pendidikan Dasar. Volume 3 Tahun 2013*.
- Sadoski, M. & Paivio, A. (2004). A dual coding theoretical model of reading. In R. B. Ruddell & N. J. Unrau (Eds.), *Theoretical models and processes of reading* (5th ed.). Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Saida, N., Indriwati, S.E., Balqis. (2012). *Penerapan Pembelajaran Kooperatif Group Investigation Untuk Meningkatkan Kompetensi Keterampilan Proses Sains Dan Hasil Belajar Siswa Kelas X SMA Brawijaya Smart School Malang*. Malang: Universitas Negeri Malang.
- Sandoval, W.A. and Reiser B.J. (2003). *Explanation-Driven Inquiry: Integrating Conceptual and Epistemic Scaffolds for Scientific Inquiry*. Los Angeles: Wiley InterScience
- Schwarz B, Dreyfus T, Hershkowitz NHR. (2004). *Teacher Guidance Of Knowledge Construction*. Israel: Tel Aviv University
- Seelman, K.D. (1997). *Communication and Technology: Women's. Work*. Proceedings of the International Leadership Forum for Women with Disabilities; Bethesda, MD., p.114-116.
- Sepel, L. M. N., Loreto, E. L. S., Rocha, J. B. T. (2009). Using a replica of Leeuwenhoek's microscope to teach the history of science and to motivate students to discover the vision and the contributions of the first microscopists. *CBE-Life Sciences Education*. 8, 338-343.

- Shahali EHM and Halim L. 2010. Development and validation of a test of integrated science process skills. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences* 9 (2010) 142–146.
- Sheffer, D.R. (1996). *Development Psychology Childhood and Adolescence*. Georgia: Brooks/Cole Publishing Company.
- Shodell, M. (1995). The question-driven classroom: student questions as course curriculum in biology. *American Biology Teacher*, 57(5) p. 278-281.
- Silliman, E. R., Bahr, R., Beasman, J., & Wilkinson, L. C. (2000). Scaffolds for learning to read in an inclusion classroom. *Language, Speech, and Hearing Services in Schools*, 31, 265–279.
- Siswono, H., Wartono, Supriyono, K.H. (2012). *Pengaruh Problem Based Learning Berbantuan Virtual Laboratory Terhadap Keterampilan Proses Sains dan Penguasaan Konsep Siswa di SMA*. Malang: Program Pascasarjana Universitas Negeri Malang
- Slavin, R.E. (2006). *Educational Psychology. Theory and Practice*. New Jersey: Pearson Educations Inc.
- Smith, B.P. (2010). Instructional strategies in family and consumer sciences: implementing the contextual teaching and learning pedagogical model. *Journal of Family & Consumer Sciences Education*, 28(1).
- Solso, R.L., Otto, H.M., and Kimberly, M. (2008). *Cognitive Psychology*. USA: Pearson Education Inc.
- Stephenson, P. and Warwick, P. 2002. Using Concept Cartoons to Support Progression in Pupils' Understanding of Light, *Journal of Physics Education*, 37 (2). pp 145-141
- Subali, B. (2010). Bias item test of divergen pattern of science Process skill and it's modification as creativity Test. *Jurnal Penelitian dan Evaluasi Pendidikan* Tahun 14, Nomor 2, 2010
- Suparno. Paul. (1997). *Filsafat Konstruktivisme dalam Pendidikan*. Yogyakarta: Kanisius.
- Susanti, W. (2014). *Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Inkuiri Terbimbing Terhadap Keterampilan Proses Sains Siswa pada Materi Laju Reaksi* (Skripsi tidak diterbitkan). Program Studi Pendidikan Kimia, Jurusan Pendidikan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam, Fakultas Ilmu Tarbiyah dan Keguruan, Universitas Islam Negeri Syarif Hidayatullah, Jakarta.

- Tias, I.W.U. (2014). *Penerapan Model Kontekstual Untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Kognitif Dan Keterampilan Proses Sains Siswa Sekolah Dasar* (Skripsi tidak diterbitkan). Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.
- Tuan, H., Chin, C., Tsai, C and Cheng, S. (2005). Investigating the effectiveness of inquiry instruction on the motivation of different learning styles students. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education* 3 p. 541–566
- Tytler, R. (1996). Constructivism and conceptual change views of learning in science. *Khazanah Pengajaran IPA. No. 1 (3)* hal. 4-20
- Utami, W.D., Dasna, I.W., Sulistina, O. (2011). *Pengaruh Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Inkuiri Terbimbing Terhadap Hasil Belajar Dan Keterampilan Proses Sains Siswa Pada Materi Kelarutan Dan Hasil Kali Kelarutan*. Malang: Universitas Negeri Malang.
- Vacca, S.J., & Levitt, R. (2009). Using scaffolding techniques to teach a lesson about the civil war. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science. Vol. 1 No. 18*.
- Van de Pol, J.E. (2012). *Scaffolding in teacher-student interaction: exploring, measuring, promoting and evaluating scaffolding*. Amsterdam: University of Amsterdam.
- Pol, J., Volman, M., and Beishuizen, J. (2010). Scaffolding in Teacher–Student Interaction: A Decade of Research. *Educational Psychology Review*. 10.1007/s10648-010-9127-6
- Varelas, M and Ford M. 2009. *The scientific method and scientific inquiry: Tensions in teaching and learning*. USA: Wiley InterScience.
- Veenman, M. V. J., Kok, R., & Blote, A. W. (2005). The relation between intellectual and metacognitive skills in early adolescence. *Instructional Science*, 33(3) p. 193–211.
- Veselinovska SS, Liljana KG, and Milena D. 2011. The Effect Of Teaching Methods on Cognitive Achievement In Biology. *Studying. Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences* 15 p. 2521–2527
- Vygotsky, L. S. 1978. *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

- Wakhidah, N. (2014). *Implementasi Model 5 M dalam Pendekatan Saintifik pada Mahasiswa Calon Guru*. Surabaya: Laporan Preliminary Research
- Wakhidah, N. 2014. Kemampuan Keterampilan Proses Sains Terpadu Mahasiswa Calon Guru Madrasah Ibtidaiyah UIN Sunan Ampel Surabaya. Surabaya: Proseeding Seminar Pendidikan Sains
- Wakhidah, N. 2014. Pembelajaran Tematik Kompetensi IPA Dengan Pendekatan Saintifik (Scientific Approach) Di Sekolah Dasar. Surabaya: Proseeding Seminar Nasional Jurusan Pendidikan Dasar
- Wakhidah, N.(2015) *Scaffolding Pendekatan Saintifik*. Surabaya: Jaudar Press
- Wakhidah, N. (2013). *Keterampilan Proses Sains Mahasiswa PGMI IAIN Sunan Ampel Surabaya*. Surabaya: Laporan Preliminary Research
- Wardana. I.K., Marhaeni, A.A.I.N., Nyoman, T. (2013). Pengaruh Model Kontekstual Terhadap Keterampilan Proses Sains Dan Hasil Belajar Sains Pada Siswa Kelas IV SD. *e-Journal Program Pascasarjana Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha Program Studi Pendidikan Dasar Volume 3 Tahun 2013*
- Weinburgh, M. H. 2003. Changing elementary and college science teaching through coteaching/co-generative dialogue. In D. Berlin & A. Whites (Eds). *Improving Science and Mathematics Education: Insights for a Global Community*. (pp. 73-74). Columbus, OH: ICRSME.
- White, B. Y., & Frederiksen, J. R. (1998). Inquiry, modeling, and metacognition: Making science accessible to all students. *Cognition & Instruction*, 16(1), 3–118.
- Wicaksono, S. 2013. *Pemahaman Guru Rendah, Tantangan Kurikulum 2013*. Jakarta:
<http://www.suaramerdeka.com/v1/index.php/read/cetak/2013/12/27/247560/Pemahaman-Guru-Rendah-Tantangan-Kurikulum-2013>
- Wieman, C. (2007a). *A Scientific Approach to Science Education?* Colorado: University of British Columbia.
- Wieman, C. (2007b). *Why Not Try A Scientific Approach To Science Education?*
http://www.science20.com/carl_wieman/why_not_try_scientific_approac_science_education.
- Wiggins, Grant and Jay McTighe. (2005) *Understanding by Design*, 2nd ed. Alexandria VA. Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development

- Wiratana, I.K., Sadia, I.W., Suma, K. (2013). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Kooperatif Tipe Investigasi Kelompok (Group Investigation) Terhadap Keterampilan Proses dan Hasil Belajar Sains Siswa SMP. *e-Journal Program Pascasarjana Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha Program Studi IPA. Volume 3 Tahun 2013.*
- Woolfolk, A. (2008). *Psychology in Education*. New York: Pearson Education Limited.
- Woolfolk, A. 2008. *Educational Psychology. Active Learning Edition*. Diterjemahkan oleh Helly prayitno Soecipto dan Sri Mulyantini Soecipto. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Xie, K., & Bradshaw, A. C. (2008). Using question prompts to support ill-structured problem solving in online peer collaborations. *International Journal of Technology in Teaching and Learning, 4(2)* p. 148–165.
- Xu JP, He ZJ, Ooi TL. 2012. Perceptual Learning To Reduce Sensory Eye Dominance Beyond The Focus Of Top-Down Visual Attention. *Vision Research 61* (2012) 39–47
- Yelland, N., & Masters, J. (2007). Rethinking scaffolding in the information age. *Computers & Education, 48*, 362–382. yelland & master
- Zellermayer, M., Salomon, G., Globerson, T., & Givon, H. (1991). Enhancing writing-related metacognitions through a computerized writing partner. *American Educational Research Journal, 28(2)* p.373–391.
- Zhang, J, Johnson K.A, Malin, J.T., & Smith, J.W. (2002). Human Centered Information Visualization. Proceedings of the International Workshop on Dynamic Visualization and Learning. Germany: Tubingen. Ed. R. Ploetzner.
- Zimmerman, B. (2000). *Attaining self-regulation: A social cognitive perspective*. In M. Boekaerts, P. R. Pintrich & M. Zeidner (Eds.), *Handbook of self-regulation* (pp.13–29). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Zimmerman, B. (2002). Becoming a self-regulated learner: An Overview. *Theory into Practice, 41(2)*, p. 64–70.
- Zirbel, EL. (2005). *Teaching To Promote Deep Understanding and Instigate Conceptual Change* for Pre-service Elementary Teachers based on Inquiry