













Rubric of The Students' Critical Thinking in Writing Argumentative Essay

<b>FEATURES</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>Score</b>
<b>INTRODUCTION</b> Background or history, define the problem, thesis Statement	Well-developed introductory paragraph contains detailed background, a clear explanation or definition of the problem and a thesis statement	Begin to develop introductory paragraph contains detailed background, explanation or definition of the problem and states the thesis of the paper well	Begin to develop introductory paragraph contains detailed background, but lack of explanation or definition of the problem and a thesis statement	Introductory paragraph contains some background information and states the problem, but does not explain using details. States the thesis of the paper	Introductory states the thesis but does not adequately of the problem. The problem is stated but lacks detail	Thesis and/or problem is vague or Unclear. Background details are a seemingly random collection of information, unclear, or not related to the topic.	
<b>MAIN POINTS</b> Body paragraphs, Refutation	Three or more points are well developed with supporting details and the weakness of ideas and also reasoning are presented well. Refutation paragraph(s) acknowledges the opposing view and summarize their	Three or more points begin to develop with supporting details and the weakness of ideas but the reasoning are not presented well. Refutations paragraph(s) acknowledges the opposing view but may	Three or more main points begin to develop with supporting details and also the weakness of ideas but the reasoning are not presented. Refutation paragraph(s) acknowledges the opposing view but lack of summarizes their	Three or more main points are present but may lack detail or the weakness of ideas are presented and development in one or two. Refutation paragraph(s) acknowledges the opposing view but doesn't summarize	Three or more main points, but all lack development and also the weakness of ideas are not presented. Refutation paragraph(s) missing and/or vague.	Less than three main points, with poor development of ideas. The weakness of ideas is not presented Refutation missing or vague.	

	main points well.	lack of summarize their main points.	main points.	points.			
CONCLUSION	Conclusion summarizes the main topics without repeating previous sentences; the opinions and suggestions for change are logical and well thought out. The implication of main arguments are also presented well	Conclusion summarizes the main topics without repeating previous sentences. The opinion and suggestions are presented well but it is not clear enough. The implication of main arguments are often presented	Conclusion may lack summarizes the main topics. Some suggestions for change are evident and the implication of main arguments presented in one or two sentences in the paragraph.	Conclusion lack of summarizes main topics. Some suggestions for change are evident. But the implication of main arguments are not presented	Conclusion summarizes main topics, but is repetitive. No suggestions for change and/or opinions are included. The implication of main arguments are not presented	Conclusion does not adequately summarize the main points. No suggestions for change or opinions are included. The implication of main arguments are also not presented	
ORGANIZATION	Logical, compelling progression of ideas in essay, clear structure which enhances and showcases the central idea or theme and moves the reader	Overall, the paper is logically developed. Progression of ideas in essay makes sense and moves the reader easily through the text.	The paper is logically developed. There are three or four sentences progress ideas in essay make senses and move the reader easily through the text.	Progression of ideas in essay is awkward, yet moves the reader through the text without too much confusion. The writer sometimes lunges ahead too quickly or spends	Arrangement of essay is unclear and illogical. The writing lacks a clear sense of direction. Ideas, details or events seem strung together in a	Arrangement of essay is unclear and illogical. The writing is unclear sense of direction. Ideas, details or events seem strung together in a loose or random	



	<p>through the text. Organization flows so smoothly the reader hardly thinks about it. Effective, mature, graceful transitions exist throughout the essay.</p>	<p>Strong transitions exist throughout and add to the essay's coherence</p>	<p>But not equally throughout the essay.</p>	<p>too much time on details that do not matter. Transitions appear sporadically, but not equally throughout the essay.</p>	<p>loose or random fashion; there is no identifiable internal structure and readers have trouble following the writer's line of thought. Few, forced transitions in the essay or no transitions are present.</p>	<p>fashion; there is no identifiable internal structure and readers have trouble following the writer's line of thought. Many of senter forcec transitions in the essay or no transitions are present.</p>
WORKS CITED	<p>Source material is smoothly integrated into the text. All sources are accurately documented in the desired format both in the text and on the Works Cited page. Some concepts or terms are well</p>	<p>Source material is used. All sources are accurately documented. But a few are not in desired format. Some sources may lack credibility and some concepts or terms are may lack presented</p>	<p>Source material is used. All sources are accurately documented, but some are not in the desired format. Some sources lack credibility and some concepts or terms are presented but lack of</p>	<p>Source material is used, but integration may be awkward. All sources are accurately documented, and many are not in the desired format or lack credibility. Some concepts or terms are not presented to support the</p>	<p>Source material is used. All sources lack of integrated. All sources are accurately documented, and but many format of sources incorrect. Some concepts or terms are not presented to</p>	<p>The sources are not accurately documented. Format is incorrect for all sources. Some concepts or terms are not presented to support the arguments</p>

	presented to support the arguments	to support the arguments	supporting the arguments	arguments	support the arguments		
<b>MECHANICS</b> Sentence Structure Punctuation & Capitalization	Sentence structure is correct. Punctuation and capitalization are correct.	Sentence structure is generally correct. A few awkward sentences do appear. There are one or two errors in punctuation and/or capitalization.	Sentence structure is generally correct. Some awkward sentences do appear. There are one or two errors in punctuation and/or capitalization.	Work contains structural weaknesses and grammatical errors. There are three or four errors in punctuation and/or capitalization.	Work contains multiple incorrect sentence structures. There are some errors in punctuation and/or capitalization.	Work contains multiple incorrect sentence structures. There are many errors in punctuation and/or capitalization.	
Total Score							

**The Table 3.3** The Rubric of the students' critical thinking which is adapted from PIER Macmillan Yale Education wesite. <sup>6</sup>

<sup>6</sup><http://pier.macmillan.yale.edu/sites/default/files/files/Argumentative%20essay%20rubric.pdf>. Retrieved on 17 December 2016





