



exist in the taxonomy of Muntigl and Turnbull (1998). Therefore, the new 6 types can be considered as one of the new results found in this study.

There is one point of the similarity between male and female villains in applying disagreeing strategies that is the highest percentage of type reached by 'counterclaim' in both male and female villains' percentages. It is because counterclaim is a type which can represent their implied disagreements to state their alibi, their statement, their reason, and their clarification that can support them for being innocent.

One of the differences between male and female villains found in this study is in favoring negative article and negative evaluation in stating disagreements. Male villains use more negative evaluation in their contradiction to contradict such as "That's stupid" or "That's nonsense" with 53.2%. Female villains use more negative article that are "no" or "not", to state the negated proposition of the previous claim 55.6%.

The next difference is in favoring direct or indirect. Male villains favor direct disagreements by using 6 direct disagreements that are 'contradiction followed by challenge', 'contradiction', 'irrelevancy claim', contradiction + counterclaim followed by challenge', 'contradiction followed by counterclaim', and 'irrelevancy claim followed by counterclaim'. The 2 other types are favored by female villains that are 'challenge' and 'challenge followed by counterclaim'. They are considered as direct disagreements because the explicit disagreement which each type has such as contradiction, and challenge. The indirect disagreements are disagreements which has



will be more interesting if the further research can explore more on the differences between male and female villains in expressing disagreement. It can be combined with social distance or power relations between the villains and the interlocutors as the studies by Behnam (2011) and Kozcogh (2011). Moreover, Behnam (2011) and Kozcogh's study (2011) did not use villains as the subject as in this study. The study about disagreements in villains' utterances is worth to be further analyzed. It may be drawn the reason from the villains for choosing a certain type to disagree with the interlocutor's utterances. Furthermore, there are male and female interlocutors as the villains. The same gender between the villains and the interlocutors may be the effect of the reason for choosing the certain type. Social distance or power relations can be used to explore and undermine the differences appeared in male and female villains' disagreements. Hence, it will show a stronger research about gender in disagreements of villains.

This study analyzes disagreements in villains' utterances when they do debate with the detective. The setting place is not in the court. It is in the scene where the case happens. Hence, the next study can do analysis in disagreements of the villains in other setting or situation such as in the court. A villain has rights to defend themselves in the court when the prosecutor explains the case and what the villain has done. The villain can state their disagreements through it. Therefore, various disagreements can occur and need to be analyzed to enrich the study about disagreements in villains.