

















































and their operatives from being able to use the Internet to radicalize, even direct people in our country and Europe and elsewhere.

But increasingly, we are seeing cyber-attacks coming from states, organs of states. The most recent and troubling of these has been Russia. There's no doubt now that Russia has used cyber-attacks against all kinds of organizations in our country, and I am deeply concerned about this. I know Donald's very praiseworthy of Vladimir Putin, but Putin is playing a really tough, long game here. And one of the things he's done is to let loose cyber attackers to hack into government files, to hack into personal files, hack into the Democratic National Committee. And we recently have learned that, you know, that this is one of their preferred methods of trying to wreak havoc and collect information. We need to make it very clear—whether it's Russia, China, Iran or anybody else—the United States has much greater capacity. And we are not going to sit idly by and permit state actors to go after our information, our private-sector information or our public-sector information.

And we're going to have to make it clear that we don't want to use the kinds of tools that we have. We don't want to engage in a different kind of warfare. But we will defend the citizens of this country.

And the Russians need to understand that. I think they've been treating it as almost a probing, how far would we go, how much would we do. And that's why I













left. And there is a determined effort by the Russian air force to destroy Aleppo in order to eliminate the last of the Syrian rebels who are really holding out against the Assad regime.

Russia hasn't paid any attention to ISIS. They're interested in keeping Assad in power. So I, when I was secretary of state, advocated and I advocate today a no-fly zone and safe zones. We need some leverage with the Russians, because they are not going to come to the negotiating table for a diplomatic resolution, unless there is some leverage over them. And we have to work more closely with our partners and allies on the ground.

But I want to emphasize that what is at stake here is the ambitions and the aggressiveness of Russia. Russia has decided that it's all in, in Syria. And they've also decided who they want to see become president of the United States, too, and it's not me. I've stood up to Russia. I've taken on Putin and others, and I would do that as president.

I think wherever we can cooperate with Russia, that's fine. And I did as secretary of state. That's how we got a treaty reducing nuclear weapons. It's how we got the sanctions on Iran that put a lid on the Iranian nuclear program without firing a single shot. So I would go to the negotiating table with more leverage than we have now. But I do support the effort to investigate for crimes, war crimes committed by the Syrians and the Russians and try to hold them accountable.













From Hillary's utterances above, the researcher analyzed these sentences as referential function. Hillary describes about Haiti. Hillary gives information to the audiences that Haiti is the poorest country in their country. The earthquake and the hurricanes, it has devastated Haiti. Hillary also give information that The Clinton Foundation raised \$30 million to help Haiti after the catastrophic earthquake and all of the terrible problems the people there had. Hillary said to the audiences to always help Haiti because Haiti is the part of America also.

#### **4.1.1.6 Language Function as Metalinguistic Function and the Meaning behind Language Function**

The metalinguistic function is used to establish mutual agreement on the code, such definition of something. Code performs the metalinguistic function which is used whenever the addresser and addressee need to check whether they use same code. It is sequence which is used to build an equivalence relation and it contains information about lexica code. The researcher did not found the metalinguistic function in Hillary's utterances.

## **4.2 Discussion**

In communication, there are two kinds of language; verbal and non verbal language. Presidential Debate is included into both verbal and non verbal language. Based on Hanes (2015), verbal language is language to express desires, ideas and concepts and as vital to the processes of learning and teaching. According to Mikoluk (2015, pg.5) communicated with non verbal language can use gestures, body language, facial expressions, postures, pictures and painting.

So, presidential debate is included into both verbal and non verbal language because candidates of president express ideas, argumentations, and opinions by gestures, body language, facial expressions and postures.

In Hillary Clinton's utterances, the researcher found one hundred forty seven referential functions, eighteen conative functions, seventeen emotive functions, eleven phatic functions, one poetic function, and there is no metalinguistic function. Based on the finding, the language function mostly used by Hillary Clinton's utterances is referential function.

On the other hand, the researcher also found that there are some meanings behind Hillary Clinton's utterances. The first, the meaning behind referential function in Hillary Clinton's utterances are to give information about object, situation problem in America. The second, the meaning behind conative function in Hillary Clinton's utterances is to persuade the audiences to do positive activities and to join and vote her. The third, the meaning behind emotive function in Hillary Clinton's utterances are express her emotions or feelings. The fourth, the meaning behind phatic function in Hillary Clinton's utterances is to accost and greeting Donald Trump and audiences directly. The fifth, the meaning behind poetic function is deliver the positive message. The sixth, there is no meaning behind in metalinguistic function because the researcher do not found metalinguistic function.