CHAPTER II REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

A. Review of Related Literature

1. Internet Use in Language Instruction

According Marzano's statement, he said that for regarding the effective teaching and learning process, teacher must be focused on improving students learning and develop a viable tool for fostering expertise in teaching¹. Therefore, in order to have a successful teaching and learning process, teacher needs supplies and resources, such as literature textbooks, writing textbooks, handouts, worksheet, internet resources, etc. Then, related with this modern era, teacher should recognize the trend toward the use of internet that has been widely applied in language teaching Furthermore, as cited from a journal entitled A Mixed-method Study of EFL Teachers' Internet use in language instruction, some researchers state that it has been dramatically increasing the development of technology in teaching language on the World Wide Web over the past decade. It has become possible and feasible for language teachers to make effective use of instructional materials, especially in teaching language. Besides, one benefit of using internet resources is the most recent and pertinent information for students can be easily retrieved by the teacher². Besides, the development of hypertext and hypermedia within the context of the World Wide Web, both teachers and students can search and access authentic materials.

2. Types of Internet Resources and Tools for Teaching:³

According to a website of Yale University, it has information about kinds of internet resources and tools. It is divided into seven categories which describe as follow:

¹ Peggy Schooling, Ed.D-Michael Toth-Robert Marzano, Ph.D, "The Critical Importance of A Common Language of Instruction", Marzano Center, 2013. 1

² Yu-li Chen Ã, "A mixed-method study of EFL teachers...1016

³ Yale University (<u>http://cls.yale.edu/online-teaching-tools-resources</u>, accessed on December 12, 2016)

- a. Audio recording and editing : an online tool for recording, sharing audio messages and editing, such as <u>Audacity, Vocaroo</u>
- **b. Photo editing :** an online tool for editing photos, such as Pixlr, SumoPaint
- **c.** Multimedia projects: a set of online tools for creating multimedia-enhanced language learning materials, activities, and assessment (requires initial registration), such as CLEAR's Rich Internet Applications which has many tools:
 - 1) Audio Dropbox: a drop box for students' audio recordings that can be put on any webpage
 - 2) Broadcasts: a podcasting program for language learning
 - 3) Conversations: a tool for recording questions for students to answer them asynchronously
 - 4) Mashups: a great tool that allows for combining video, audio, text, and interactive exercises
 - 5) QuizBreak: a flexible program for creating fun language games
 - 6) Revisions: a platform that supports process writing
 - 7) Scribbles: a tool for handwriting practice online
 - 8) SMILE: a tool for creating interactive language-practice exercises
 - 9) Video Dropbox: a dropbox for students' video recordings that can be put on any webpage
 - 10) Viewpoint: an audio and video repository that allows for recording audio and video files online, or uploading existing media.
 - 11) Worksheets: interactive worksheets that allow for audio/video recording, multiple-choice and matching questions, etc.
- **d.** Collaborative working and writing: a set of applications for creating, sharing, and collaborating on online documents, spreadsheets, presentations, etc, such as GoogleDocs.

- e. **Presentation:** an online presentation and collaboration tool that allows for creating multimedia slideshows and commenting using voice, text, audio file, or video, such as VoiceThread, Prezi.
- **f.** Video conferencing: software for text chatting, instant messaging, and video calling (requires installation), such as Skype.
- **g.** Video production and editing: an easy-to-use online tool for creating videos, such as: Animoto.

3. Internet Language Learning Resources

On 1st February 2010, there is a study about internetbased language learning and teaching which is conducted by Agarwal as the teacher of Riga Technical University. This university is not only focused on providing high quality education, but also conducts advanced research and innovation and technology. Therefore, it can be concluded that both of technology and education have relation for increasing and improving education, especially for EFL teaching process.

On his study, he tries to classify many kinds of internet language learning resources on his web-site. He classifies those web resources become seven categories, such as my favourites, my test, video lessons, grammar, listening, reading, and some more, which has different contents for each table.

This table can be found on

(http://www.englishtests.webs.com/). Only clicking the table, people directly go to the web resources that have many kinds of language materials for improving the four language skills. (*See Appendix 2.1*)

Moreover, both of teacher and learners can not only find online dictionaries, games, a chat site, quizzes for defining the level of English language knowledge, but also the various of English test with the explanations. Besides, there are some international web-site as internet language learning resources are included on this web-site, such as British Council. According to British Council web-site, British Council is the UK's international organization for cultural relations and educational opportunities. Then, their work in English purposes is to bring high-quality language materials to every learner and teacher who wants them. Besides, they can teach English and train teacher through radio, web and television broadcasts. Therefore, that is a reason why the researcher choose this web-site, because the table consist of many kinds of English materials. The table of language materials on the web-site are appear below:

	Electric English Online Hy Due Thuskin. The returnes and How createst this while with my tests, these avesues sites for yes. I helivey year with find it helpful Please be informed that builds any eau material, there are finder resources and I do not halo any responsibility for the of Koney					ful and are ses	l benefit from it. veral links to other	Son in a Bagatar Recent Blog Entries Hi by adjultants 0 commants Share on Facebook	
My	y favourites							L	
Vide		videos to learn	Test your vo	cabulary	Dictionary of sla	ng	Everything for learning English		l
	ading with audio Siongs I translation	with exercises	Books and a	nio	ldons		Short films with subtities		l
	ns with subtities Video I translation 1 even		Any word in a sertence	•	Nav English wa	ndis	Films with subtities		l
	ns with sublities Audio I translation 2	English	Videos and q	uizzes	Phrasal verbs		Short films		ŀ
м	r basibs								l
he	sert Terse	Proposition		Articles	1		Inversion		L
Past	a Tense	Preposition of t	ime	Articles	2		Indirect Questions		L
Fide	ure Tense	Order of Adjec	tives	Articles	3		Question Tags		
200	intive or Gerund	und Conditionals		Articles 4		Reported Speech		l	
Vid	Video lessons							l	
Spo	aiking English Level	based English si	English kasa	n	Let's talk		All about English		
Mar	ne English lessons Englis	American h	Two-minute- long English		Go on speaking		Pronunciation		
Gra	ammar								
Бф	danation and Exercises	Quirzes	1	in datail		Re	ference lessons		
List	Listening								
	b	Tests	E	vercises		Level	hased		
Pasi	Reading								
Exa	acises	Tests	L	weltased	1	Level	Hased 2		
Sor	me more								
Tra	anslate a text	Chat with a bot	т	at to spa	ch				

Figure 2.1 Agarwal's Web Resources (Learn and Test English with Dr.Kumar, (http://www.englishtests.webs.com/, accessed on February 28, 2017

digilib.uinsby.ac.id digilib.uinsby.ac.id digilib.uinsby.ac.id digilib.uinsby.ac.id

The figure 2.1 is the result of a study about the internet language learning resources that conducted by Agarwal in 2010. He classified 61 web resources based on seven categories with different web address. Additionally, according to Brian Tomlinson, he summaries some researchers' opinion about the basic principles of materials development for teaching of languages:

a. Materials should require and facilitate learner self-investment⁴.

For gaining students learners' attention, invest interest, effort in learning activities, materials can help them to achieve it by giving the topic control and engaging them in learner-centered activities.

b. Materials should provide the learners with opportunities to use the target language to achieve communicative purposes⁵.

Most of researcher agree that the learners should get the opportunities for communicate with other people rather than just to practice in the class. Therefore, the teacher should provide the authentic materials which consist of the real situation in daily life.

c. Materials should take into account that learners differ in learning styles⁶.

Different learners have different style in learning, such as visual, auditory, kinesthetic, studial, experimential, analytic, global, dependent, and independent. Teacher should understand and try to provide materials which can facilitate all of learner's learning style. By using internet language learning resources, there are various interesting English

⁴ Brian Tomlison, Materials Development in Language Teaching ... 11

⁵ Brian Tomlison, *Materials Development in Language Teaching* (Cambridge University Press, 1998), 14.

⁶ Brian Tomlison, Materials Development in Language Teaching... 17

materials for the learners. The knowledge is not only from the book, but also from the internet which more interesting.

4. Bloom's Taxonomy: Original and Revised

a. The History of Bloom's Taxonomy⁷

1948, the Convention of American In Psychological Association led Bloom to spearhead a group of educators who eventually undertook the ambitious task of classifying educational goals and objectives. Their intent was to develop a method of classification for thinking behaviors that were believed to be important in the processes of learning. At the time, educators were wrestling with a number of questions, many prompted by the influx of World War II veterans enrolling in college. The veterans wanted a good education, but what makes an education "good"? How could instructors ensure that learners graduated with more than just lower-level factual knowledge? Then, one of Bloom's students, Lee S. Shulman, recalls when these questions were raised, educators were just beginning to consider assessment.

Bloom, as the director of the examiner's office at the University of Chicago was developing assessments to measure the learning. When he tried to share ideas and test items with other evaluators, he found that instructors agreed that they wanted learners to "understand," but they had very different ideas about what understanding meant. Bloom imagined а taxonomy that would organize educational goals into a hierarchy. It seems like the biologists who classify the living of creatures into categories that ascend from species to kingdom. The taxonomy

⁷ Cecelia Munzenmaier, Nancy Rubin, Perspective Bloom Taxonomy: What's Old Is New Again (2013 The eLearning Guild, 3)

that bears his name is based on the work of hundreds of collaborators, including reviewers, contributors of case studies and examples, and a core of working group for about 30 people. Then, the result of their efforts are published in 1956. It is officially known as *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives*. Bloom often called this work *The Handbook*.

However, the educators, instructional designers, researchers, and evaluators who apply this classification generally call it as Bloom's Taxonomy. This recognizes Bloom's foundational contribution to the project: He convinced his collaborators to organize learning behaviors on a continuum from the simplest to the most complex. The Original Taxonomy Of Cognitive Domain

In 1956, Bloom said that the cognitive knowledge domain involves and the development of intellectual skill. Cognitive domain is included in recalling specific facts, procedural patterns, and concepts that serves in the development of intellectual abilities and skills⁸. There are six categories of cognitive domain which is starting from the simplest ability to the most complex ability, or it can be called as degrees of difficulties. In an effort to decrease the confusion of the six level of bloom's taxonomy, the table of cognitive domain are appear below:

b.

⁸ Forehand, M. "Bloom's taxonomy: Original and revise"

⁽http://www.coe.uga.edu/epltt/bloom.htm, accessed on November 29, 2016)

-	Category	Key Word	ey Words (Verbs)			
	Knowledge: Recall data or information	Arranges Defines Describes Identifies Knows	Labels Lists Matches Names Outlines	Recalls Recognizes Reproduces Selects States		
	Comprehension: Understand the meaning, translation, interpolation, and interpretation of instruction and problems. State a problem in one's own words.	Comprehends Converts Defends Generalizes Give	An example Infers Interprets Paraphrases Predicts	Rewrites Summarizes Translates.		
	Application: Use a concept in a new situation or unprompted use of an abstraction. Applies what was learned in the classroom into novel situations in the work place.	Applies Changes Computes Constructs Demonstrates	Discovers Manipulates Modifies Operates Predicts	Prepares Produces Relates Shows Solves Uses		
	Analysis: Separates material or concepts into component parts so that its organizational structure may be understood. Distinguishes between facts and inferences.	Analyses Breaks down Compares Contrasts Diagrams	Deconstructs Differentiates Discriminates Distinguishes Identifies Illustrates	Infers Outlines Relates Selects Separates		
	Synthesis: Builds a structure or pattern from diverse elements. Put parts together to form a whole, with emphasis on creating a new meaning or structure.	Categorizes Combines Compiles Composes Creates Devises Designs	Explains Generates Modifies Organizes Plans Rearranges Reconstructs	Relates Reorganizes Revises Rewrites Summarizes Tells Writes		
	Evaluation: Make judgments about the value of ideas or materials.	Appraises Compares Concludes Contrasts Criticizes	Critiques Defends Describes Discriminates Evaluates Explains	Interprets Justifies Relates Summarizes Supports		

Table 2.1 The Original of Bloom's Taxonomy

c. The Revised of Bloom's Taxonomy In 2001, a former student of Bloom's, Lorin Anderson, and a group of cognitive psychologists,

digilib.uinsby.ac.id digilib.uinsby.ac.id digilib.uinsby.ac.id digilib.uinsby.ac.id

curriculum theorists and instructional researchers, and testing and assessment specialists published a revision of Bloom's Taxonomy entitled A Taxonomy for Teaching, Learning, and Assessment⁹.

Category	Key Words (Verbs)		
Remembering:	Choose	Locate	Recite
Shallow processing: drawing out factual	Describe	Match	Recognize
answers, testing recall and recognition.	Define	Memorize	Select
	Identify	Name	State
	Label	Omit	
	List		
Understanding:	Classify	Illustrate	Represent
Comprehending the meaning,	Defend	Indicate	Restate
translation, interpolation and	Demonstrate	Interrelate	Rewrite
interpretation of instructions and	Distinguish	Interpret	Select
problems. State a problem in one's own	Explain	Infer	Show
words.	Express	Judge	Summarize
	Extend	Match	Tell
	Give example	Paraphrase	Translate
Applying:	Apply	Organize	Show
Knowing when to apply, why to apply,	Choose	Paint	Sketch
and recognizing patterns of transfer	Dramatize	Prepare	Solve
situations that are new, unfamiliar or	Explain	Produce	Use
have a new slant for students.	Generalize	Select	
	Judge		
Analyzing:	Analyze	Differentiate	Point out
Breaking down into parts, forms.	Categorize	Distinguish	Select
	Classify	Identity	Subdivide
	Compare	Infer	Survey
Evaluating:	Appraise	Criticize	Compare
According to some set of criteria and	Judge	Defend	
state it why			
Creating:	Choose	Develop	Make up
Combining elements into a pattern not	Combine	Do	Originate
clearly there before.	Compose	Formulate	Organize Plan
	Construct	Hypothesize	Produce
	Create	Invent	Role play
	Design	Make	Tell

Table 2.2 The Revised of Bloom's Taxonomy

(http://www.coe.uga.edu/epltt/bloom.htm, accessed on November 29, 2016)

⁹ Forehand, M. "Bloom's taxonomy: Original and revise"

The graphic below illustrates the differences between Bloom's original taxonomy and the 2011 revised taxonomy¹⁰:



Figure 2.2 Changes in Bloom's taxonomy of Educational Objectives, (The International Assembly for Collegiate Business Education, *Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives and Writing Intended Learning Outcomes Statements* (Lenexa, Kansas-USA, 2014-2016), 4, accessed on 19 October, 2016

The most obvious differences between the 1956 and 2001 versions is in revising the taxonomy between evaluation and synthesis. The highest level is not the evaluation, but a new category of creating becomes the highest level. This category was originally recognized as synthesis. Another significant change is the category names. Changing the name of six categories is in noun form becomes the verb form.

Then, according to Zawisza's explanation, she said that bloom's taxonomy is the powerful tool to help develop learning objectives, because it explains the process of thinking. Therefore, in this study the researcher used the categories of bloom's taxonomy to identify the internet language learning resources.

¹⁰ The International Assembly for Collegiate Business Education, *Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives and Writing Intended Learning Outcomes Statements* (Lenexa, Kansas-USA, 2014-2016), 4

5. The Four Language Skills¹¹

a. Reading

According to Hornby, he said that when people look at the written or printed words or symbol and they are understand the meaning of those, it means that they are reading. Besides, according to Harmer's explanation, there are two types of reading; Extensive and intensive reading. When the teacher have their own reading program for the students which includes materials, guidance, tasks, and libraries, it means that the teacher applies extensive reading. On the other hand, intensive reading means detailing focus on reading text and complementing with some activities, such as study about grammar or vocabularies. It will be better if the students have opportunities to choose what they want to read, therefore they will read some text with pleasure and the teacher can motivate the students to read intensively and extensively by engaging them with different topics and tasks.

Then, according to the theory of micro and micro reading skill that stated by Brown and Abeywickrama in 2010¹², commonly for micro skills those websites are recognize a core of words and interpret word order patterns and their significance, recognize cohesive devices in written discourse and their role in signaling the relationship between and among clauses, and for macro skills those websites are recognize the communicative functions of written texts, according to forms and purpose, then infer context that is not explicit by activating schemas (using background knowledge), from described ideas, infer links and connections between events, deduce causes and effects, and detect such us relations as

¹¹ Muñoz Bastíasin, "Tegration Of The Four Skills Of The English Language And Its Influence On The Performance Of Second Grade High School Students", (Chili, 2011), 17, 23, 27, 32

¹² H. Douglas Brown – Priyanvada Abeywickrama, Language Assessment: Principles and Classroom Practices (Longman: 2010), 63

main idea, supporting idea, new information, given information, generalization and exemplification, then develop and use a battery of reading strategies, such as scanning and skimming, detecting discourse markers, guessing the meaning of words from context, and activating schemata for the interpretation of texts.

b. Listening

According to Harmer, he mentions two different kinds of listening. First, Extensive Listening "refers to listening which the students often do for pleasure or some other reason. The audio material they consume in this way often on CDs in their cars, on MP3 players, DVDs, videos or on the internet should consist of texts that they can enjoy listening to because they more or less understand them without the intervention of a teacher or course materials to help them.

Then, according to the theory of micro and micro listening skill that stated by Brown and Abeywickrama in 2010¹³, commonly for micro skills those websites are recognize English stress patterns, words in stressed and unstressed positions, intonation contours, and their role in signing information, and for macro skills those websites are recognize the communicative functions of utterances, according to situations, participants, and goals, then infer participants, goals using situations, real-world knowledge, and from events and ideas described, predict outcomes, infer links and connections between events, deduce causes and effects, and detect such us information generalization and exemplification, then develop and use a battery of listening strategies, such us detecting key words, guessing the meaning of words from context, appealing for help, and signaling comprehension thereof.

21

 $^{^{13}}$ H. Douglas Brown – Priyanvada Abeywickrama, Language Assessment: Principles and Classroom Practices... 67

c. Writing

According to Richards & Renandya, they mention that writing is the most difficult skill for L2 learners, since they need to generate ideas, organize them and translate these ideas into readable text which can be very difficult for students. Then, Harmer mentions some important aspects that are considered in writing such as Handwriting, even though communication takes place electronically nowadays. However, there are example in which students write by hand, for example in language exams.

Related with writing skill, the researcher connected with the theory of micro and macro writing skill that stated by Brown and Abeywickrama in 2010¹⁴. Particularly, there are some websites are produce an acceptable core of words and use appropriate word order patterns, use cohesive devices in written discourse. Those are the objectives of micro skills in writing skills. Then, for the micro skills are use the rhetorical forms and conventions of written discourse, appropriately accomplish the communicative functions of written texts according to form and purpose, convey links and connections between events and communicate such relations as main idea, supporting idea, new information, given information, generalization, and exemplification, recognize a core of words and interpret word order patterns and their significance, recognize cohesive devices in written discourse and their role in signaling the relationship between and among clauses, and for macro skills those websites are recognize the communicative functions of written texts, according to forms and purpose, then infer context that is not explicit by activating schemas (using background knowledge), detecting discourse markers, guessing the

¹⁴ H. Douglas Brown – Priyanvada Abeywickrama, Language Assessment: Principles and Classroom Practices...70

meaning of words from context, and activating schemata for the interpretation of texts.

d. Speaking

Hornby defines speaking is an activity where people are having a conversation or talking with somebody. Besides, according to Hadfield, he says that speaking is an interaction among people which is the listener can give response to the speaker and deliver the massage. However, it is still difficult for learner of EFL having interaction each other, because they need to understand what they speak.

Related with speaking skill, the researcher connected with the theory of micro and macro speaking skill also that stated by Brown and Abeywickrama in 2010¹⁵. Commonly, there are some websites are produce the English patterns, words in stressed and unstressed positions, rhythmic structure, and intonation contours, produce fluent speech at different rates of delivery, produce speech in natural constituents: in appropriate phrases, pause groups, and sentence constituents. Those are the objectives in micro speaking skills. Then, the macro skills are appropriately accomplish communicative functions according to situations, participants, and goals, convey links and connections between event and communicate such relations, conversation rules, floor and -yielding, interrupting, and other sociolinguistic features in faceto-face conversations, convey facial features, kinesics, body language, and other nonverbal cues along with verbal language.

B. Previous Studies

In this part, the researcher reviews the previous study that conducted by Jeong-Bae Son which has similar focus on classification of internet tools for language teaching that is entitled "Online Tools for Language Teaching" which

¹⁵ H. Douglas Brown – Priyanvada Abeywickrama, Language Assessment: Principles and Classroom Practices...74

conducted in Australia¹⁶. His research discusses about the place and role of online language teaching tools in CALL and present a category list of the tools. Then, according to the main function and the features, he classified the internet resources into twelve categories, such as learning content management, communication, live and virtual words, social networking and bookmarking, blogs and wikis, presentations, resources sharing, website creation, web exercise creation, web search engine, dictionaries and concordances, and utilities. However, this study is different with that study, because it is more specific in classifying of Agarwal's study about the internet language learning resources which is able to facilitate the students' cognitive development based on bloom's taxonomy and the four language skills. The classification is only focus on web resources that classified by Agarwal in his study for EFL teaching that has related with bloom's taxonomy and language skill (writing, speaking, listening, and reading) where the teacher can easily to find out the appropriate internet language learning resources in online educational websites for EFL teaching in every stages of students' cognitive development.

Another research has been conducted by Yu-Li Chen in Taiwan with her research entitled *A mixed-method study of EFL teachers' Internet use in language instruction*¹⁷. The researcher was intended to answers about the factors that influence the teachers in integrating the Internet into their instruction. Then, the result shows that teacher training is the most prominent determinant factors of Internet use, but before getting the result of the study, the researcher tries to classify many kinds of internet tools and resources. She wants to know about kinds of internet tools and resources that are very often used by the teachers in that place. However, this study only classify of a website as the internet language learning resources that comes from Agarwal's study, and it will be included into the table of Bloom's Taxonomy and the four language skills.

¹⁶ Jeong-Bae Son. "Online Tools for Language Teaching". Vol.15, No.1, University of Southern Queensland, Australia. June, 2011

¹⁷ Yu-li Chen Ã, "A mixed-method study of EFL teachers' Internet use in language instruction", Vol.24, Taiwan: 2008, 1015–1028

Another research about this concern was conducted by **J.J. Sylvia** entitled *Using Bloom's Taxonomy to Assess Social Media*¹⁸. This study is conducted for assessing social media using bloom's taxonomy, because in this modern era, when students are often use social media in socializing, it does not guarantee that they know how to use social media for education field. Then, the researcher classifying the social media for teaching-learning process based on bloom's taxonomy. Although J.J Sylivia's study uses bloom's taxonomy also, but it is still different with study, because J.J Sylivia classifies the social media. It is not internet language learning resources for EFL teaching.

¹⁸ J. Sylvia IV, "Using Bloom's Taxonomy To Assess Social Media Assignments", VOL. 3, No.1, North Carolina State University: 2014