

The next step that I did in this study, it was still in identifying process, after reading the proposal and finding the errors was put the indicated sentences errors to the checklist I had prepared before. The checklist was very helpful to make the study process easier. The researcher did not need to open the proposal again and again. He just looked at the checklist to check the errors. The next step was classifying the errors into types based on comparative taxonomy and surface strategy taxonomy including errors of omission, addition, malformation, and disordering. In this step, the researcher corrected the errors sentences first. It was done to determine what kinds of errors occurred on the sentences then the researcher classified the errors in those types.

The next step was tabulating the types of errors and presented it in the percentages form. All the data that presented in scores or quantitative form were just make simple and clear the data. By this way, the researcher and other this thesis reader can read and analyze this data more easily. After classifying the errors based on their types and counting the frequency of occurrence, the researcher sake the possible causes of those. The causes of errors were Interlingua and intralingua. Intralingua is caused by; Over Generalization, Ignorance of the Rule Restriction, Incomplete Application of Rule, and False Concept Hypothesized. Based on the data, most of errors in the proposals that I took as samples made by the students of English Education Department of IAIN Sunan Ampel Surabaya writing class fourth semester, there were 244 occurrences of all types of errors.

1. Types of Errors

As described previously, the problems that were researched are the errors of tenses and sentence problem. During researching the writer was found that the subject of the study produced errors on two tenses, i.e.; simple present tense and simple future tense. Besides, the writer also found that the subject produced errors on fragment, run together sentence, and conjunction. Each of these kinds of errors then was classified into their types. In this case, errors on problem sentences were classified into types based on comparative taxonomy and surface strategy taxonomy including errors of omission, addition, malformation, and disordering recommended by Dulay, et al.¹. There were 244 errors found and the percentages of the types of errors are at the table below;

Table 2

The Percentage of Occurrences of Each Errors' types

No	Types of error	frequency	Percentage
1	Surface Taxonomy	235	96.3%
2	Comparative Taxonomy	9	3.7%
	Total	244	100%

¹ Dulay, *Language Two*, (New York: Oxford University Press, 1982), pp. 146-170

should be one sentence that stands by itself in the following sentence. In the sentence also the student missed *it* as the subject of forth clause. The sentence should be, “It is important to use one language in global communication because it is not effective when we must translate many languages to be our language. It is better for us to use English for our communication with the foreigner especially for one who wants to work in another country”. In the other sentence, the student wrote, “This instrument will used to know the vocational students responses with using computer” this sentence shows simple future tense in passive form. The student made the error in this sentence by missing to *be* as the requirement of passive form. The sentence should be, “This instrument will be used to know the vocational students’ responses of using computer”.

From the table, it could be seen that conjunction in this type of errors has frequency once out of 48 errors. Error is a thing done wrongly or the state of being wrong in belief or behavior and scope to make any mistakes without serious consequences. In other word, error is a mistake that was done by the user because he did not know from structural or grammatical. And mistake is a mistake that was done by the user because forgetting something. So, it made the structure or grammar incomplete⁴. It is usually done several times or even almost in the whole part of language

⁴ A.S. Hornby, *Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary*. (New York: Oxford University Press, 1995), p. 746

From the table 6 above, it could be seen that there were two kinds of error of disordering i.e. error of disordering in the run together sentence which is the frequency of occurrences was 13 occurrences out of 26 errors, so that the percentage was 50%, and then it is followed by error of disordering in fragment which was occurred 8 times out of 26 errors or 30.8%. The error of disordering in simple present tense and conjunction had the same frequency i.e. twice out of 26 errors or 7.7% each. Meanwhile, the errors of disordering in the simple future tense was in the lowest percentage which is the frequency of occurrence is once out of 26 errors or 3.8%.

Based on the data, some students made errors of disordering in the run together sentence that is the highest percentage of errors in this type. It shows that students used incorrect order in their sentences. In sentence “Listening usually does not allow us to pause at all, because if we pause to think, the English speakers will keep the dialog move on, so we will miss what the speakers say.” In this sentence, there were four clauses in one sentence. The first and the second clause are cause-effect sentence, and the fourth clause is as the dependent clause of the second sentence. Whereas, the third clause is dependent clause that is opposite of the previous sentences; it should be put in the last part of the sentence. The sentence should be written, “Listening usually does not allow us to pause at all

because if we pause to think, we will miss what the speakers say; whereas the English speakers will keep the dialog move on”

In the fragment, the student made errors of this type too. In the sentence they wrote, “English is not only an international language that is used by people around the world to communicating each other but also english is used to transfer science and technology.” In this sentence, the student used pair conjunction to connect the clauses. According to Betty, the same grammatical form should each word of the pair⁵, so *not only* is put between *that is* and *used*, while words *English is* in the second mentioned is erased. The sentence should be, “English is an international language that is not only used by people around the world to communicating each other but also used to transfer science and technology”

From the table, it could be seen that conjunction and simple present tense in this type of errors has frequency each twice out of 26 errors. In the same case is simple future tense, it has the lowest percentage of error of this type. According to Hornby, error is a thing done wrongly or the state of being wrong in belief or behavior and scope to make any mistakes without serious consequences. In other word, error is a mistake that was done by the user because he did not know from structural or

⁵ Betty S. Azar, *Understanding and using English Grammar*, (New Jersey: Prentice Hall Regent. 1989). p.291

grammatical. And mistake is a mistake that was done by the user because forgetting something. So, it made the structure or grammar incomplete⁶. It is usually done several times or even almost in the whole part of language in the same theory. Based on this theory, the error of conjunction of this type is considered as such a slip.

d. Errors of Addition

Errors of addition are characterized by the presence of an item that must not appear in well- formed sentences. There were 23 errors occurred in this type, it is showed at the table below;

Table 7

The percentage of errors of addition in each kind of errors

No	Kind of Errors	Number	Percentage
1	Errors of addition in the simple present tense	2	8.7%
2	Error of addition in the simple future tense	2	8.7%
3	Errors of addition in the fragment	13	56.6%
4	Errors of addition in the Run together sentence	3	13%
5	Errors of addition in the Conjunction	3	13%
	Total	23	100%

⁶ A.S. Hornby, *Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary*. (New York: Oxford University Press, 1995), p. 746

From the table 7 above, it showed that there were two five kinds of error of addition. Within errors of addition, the highest percentage belonged to the fragment with the frequency of occurrences 13 times out of 23 errors or 56, 6%, and followed by errors of addition in the run together sentence and conjunction that have the same percentage with the frequency of occurrences each 3 times out of 23 errors or 13%. Meanwhile, the error of addition in the simple present tense and the simple future tense was occurred each once out of 23 errors or each 8.7%.

In this case, some students made errors of addition in the fragment. In the sentence, the student wrote, "According my experience, just a few of the teachers mention the difficult or new word words before listening." In this sentence the students type word *word* twice in singular form and another is in plural form; it should be erased one of both. The sentence should be, "According to my experience, just a few of the teachers mention the difficult or new words before listening." Or "According my experience, just a few of the teachers mention the difficult or new word before listening."

From the table, it could be seen that simple present tense in this type of errors has frequency each twice out of 26 errors. In the same case is the simple future tense, it has the same frequency as the simple present tense of error of this type. According to Hornby, error is a thing done wrongly or the state of being wrong in belief or behavior and scope to

make any mistakes without serious consequences. In other word, error is a mistake that was done by the user because he did not know from structural or grammatical. And mistake is a mistake that was done by the user because forgetting something. So, it made the structure or grammar incomplete⁷. It is usually done several times or even almost in the whole part of language in the same theory. Based on this theory, the error of conjunction of this type is considered as such a slip.

2. Causes of Errors

After classifying the errors based on their types and counting the frequency of occurrence, the researcher sake the possible causes of those. The researcher used the theory of Richard to find the possible causes of the errors occurred. The errors were Interlingua that is influenced by mother tongue, L1, and intralingua that is influenced by the process of learning language. Intralingua is caused by; Over Generalization, Ignorance of the Rule Restriction, Incomplete Application of Rule, and False Concept Hypothesized. Look at the table below,

⁷ A.S. Hornby, *Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary*. (New York: Oxford University Press, 1995), p. 746

From the table 12 above, it could be seen that Over Generalization became the cause of errors in five place, i.e.; Over Generalization was as the cause of errors in the fragment with the frequency of occurrences was 5 occurrences out of 7 errors or 71%. It is the highest percentage in this type. Then, Over Generalization also became the cause of errors in simple present tense with the frequency of occurrences was 1 occurrence out of 7 errors or 14.5%. In the Conjunction, Over Generalization is as the cause of errors with the frequency of occurrences was 1 occurrence out of 7 errors or 14.5%. Meanwhile, the errors caused by Over Generalization in the simple future tense and run together sentence were not identified in this study.

The students' causes of errors were over generalization in which the students tended to use the simple rules to generalize the other uses and to apply the previous strategies of the target language in the new situation, so that it leads them to create a new deviate structure on the basis of his expression of other structure in the target language. The examples were as follow;

1. I am not choosing the other class or university because I am getting easy to do research in my university and especially my class which the students are my friends.
2. It may be have many factors.
3. ...and creative activities through differents materials in reading.

comparative taxonomy and surface taxonomy consisted of omission, addition, malformation, and disordering.

In the process of learning a second language, the learners may use any techniques or strategies in order to get competence or comprehension in the target language. During the process of second language learning, the learners could not avoid producing any mistakes or errors. In this study, as the result was found that the highest percentage errors occurred in the students' proposal is errors in fragment. In the some sentences, the students missed subject, verb or agreements; the students made dependent sentence stand by itself; or making errors of using –ing form. The next errors that occurred more were in the simple present tense and simple future tense. In these cases, the students had the problem in applying the pattern of the tenses such as the simple present tense, the third singular subject uses bare verb adding suffix –es or –s and in the simple future tense is used bare verb after modal *will* or *shall*. In the conjunction, the students made error of using some conjunctions correctly in the sentence. Meanwhile, in the run together sentence, the students made errors of connecting two or more independent clauses incorrectly.

The next step was seeking the possible causes of the errors occurred in the students' proposal. Those learner's errors may be caused by many factors. In this case, in analyzing the causes of errors of sentence problem made by the Writing class level 4 of English Education Department of IAIN Sunan Ample Surabaya, the writer referred to the theory recommended by Richard that the errors were

caused by two types; interlingua that is influenced by the mother tongue, L1 and intralingua that is influenced by the learning process. Intralingua consists of ignorance of rule restriction, over generalization, incomplete application of rules and false concept hypothesized¹⁶. The highest causal errors in this study were false concept hypothesized and the lowest ones were over generalized and incomplete of rule restriction.

¹⁶ Richards Jack C, *Focus on the learner: Pragmatic Perspectives for the language Teacher*, (University of New Mexico: New Bury House Publisber, 1969), pp. 174- 181