



Language style expresses human feeling. It produces language by oral and written form. In writing, the writer puts the utterances form in spoken language. While, in oral form, the speaker delivers a message in order to be a heard and know what the speaker wants directly. Related with Lackoff (1975) that language style used between man and women to draw attention, influence and also persuade other. Based on Joos (1967) in his Five O'clocks is divided into five types, those are Frozen, Formal, Consultative, Casual and Intimate. All the types have characterization and function such as frozen which is actually used in religion ceremonial, casual which is appropriating in relax situation and intimate commonly in conversation with close friend.

Research of Language Style based on the gender was done by a few early researchers (Jespersen, 1922; Reik, 1954) who attempted to explore the issue of gender and language style, but it was Lakoff's work (1973; 1974; 1975) that produced the wealth of research in this area. She attempted to outline the differences in the way males and females are encouraged to use language. She separated a set of language markers that she referred to as "women's language" style she thought was confined to women's use. She assumed that women are more likely to employ the following types of syntactical and lexical items: tag questions, disclaimers, polite forms, few to no exclamations, more discriminations in naming colors, intonational patterns that essentially make declarative sentences sound like questions, and others. The type of language use is frequently associated with women, it was (and still is to a certain extent) considered women's language. Support for and extension of this perspective is found in the writings of Sameer



features identified by previous researchers as "involved" while male writing exhibits greater usage of features which have been identified as "informational".

Study of Comparisons of graffiti in female and male toilets reflect this tendency: graffiti in female toilets tends to be more polite and interactive, while that in male toilets is more argumentative and negative (Green 2003). Statistical analysis of fiction and non-fiction texts reveals similar trends, with female writers tending to use a more personal or involved style (particularly evident in the use of pronouns), while male writers seem to use a more informational and detached style. A correlation has even been made between male style and non-fiction genres, on the one hand, and female style and fiction writing on the other (Argamon, Koppel, Fine & Shimoni 2003).

The research from Molly and Pennebaker (2010) University of Texas at Austin. Findings from established mimicry research in particular will inform ongoing and future style-matching studies. My present research should follow first on determining the specific precursors of LSM (language Style Matching). Like most social psychological phenomena, style matching appears to be affected by both individual differences and situational variables.

Another research about gender and language style delivered by Colley, Todd, et.al (2004) detect the situation when women and men talks in e-mail. Stylistic features are found in this research specifically markers of excitability and non-essentials, are used more by women, and this usage varies according to the gender of the recipient. It also appears that the difference in formality between e-mails and letters influences the way in which men communicate to their same-sex

and opposite-sex friends, specifically in the way in which they end a communication.

Another research that relates to the above is from David Bamman(2014) et.al who have presented the social media “Twitter” as the field of their research. This research focusses on stances, language style, and gender inside twitter. Quantitative models were presented in their research. Cluster analysis(task of grouping object in the same group) ([en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cluster\\_analysis](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cluster_analysis)) has demonstrated the existence of multiple gendered styles, stances, and personal. Social network connections tracks the use of mainstream gendered markers in language: individuals who use language that is not strongly marked by mainstream gender features also have social networks that are non-homophilous, and so the social network features offers no marginal improvement to gender classification.

Still in the same field research on social media, here Kelly Wherely (2010) who have delivered another social media research of “Facebook”. Language and Gender exploration and Computer Mediated Communication (CMC) is the focus of her research. Using qualitative models were showed in her research. She compares the language that is used by male and female who meet at the same situation of discussion. males were more likely than females to attack other participants through the use of sarcasm and name calling, often using harsh and aggressive name calling. Females seem to be more engaged in topics where arguments did not take place such as topics devoted to game board discussions where conflict was low. Since women have been found to participate less in these settings, instructors need to be aware of such inequalities found in CMC





