

motivate and support in learning activity. The next reason is the student's motivation in learning English was still low in English subject especially for vocabulary skill.

Thus, the researcher offered Alphabet game to motivate and make the English subject especially in vocabulary skill is more interesting. This way give a fun to the students in learning English and to know more of the words in English.

In the processing of giving treatment of this study, the researcher divided the students into four group. Each group consist of six until seven students. Each group assigns a writer to write on the paper. The writer makes a chart on the paper. The teacher says a letter, for example the letter B. The other students in each group have to mention and dictate the word of the letter and writer will writes word "Bring, bag, banana, etc" on the paper. Then, each group must be write as possible as words in their paper. Groups get 10 points for each answer. The group with the most points wins. During processing of giving treatment of this study, students looking interesting and enjoying to learning English subject.

When the experimental class get the treatment, the control class only taught by their teacher own which is use conventional method without using Alphabet game.

Then, the researcher conducted a post test of both classes. And the result, the score of the experiment class higher than control class. And the calculate of the data will be showed below.

2. The result of quantitative data

In this section the researcher discussed the quantitative data and is included the tables of the pre test and post test score and the calculation of using *paired sample t-test*.

a. Normality test

See the table 4.1 in appendix 1 which showed the student's score of pre test. The pre test was administered for 24 students of class IV A as an experiment class and 24 students of class IV B as a control class. The name of the students are coded into initial E for experiment class and initial C for control class.

Based on the data of table 4.2 (see appendix 2), there is no one student of experiment class get excellent and good score, 10 students get average score and 14 students get poor score. In other words, it is known that 41,67% students get average score and 58,33% students get poor score. And for control class, there is also no one student of control class get excellent and good score, 8 students get average score and 16 students get poor score. In other words, it is known that 33,33% students get average score and 66,67% students get poor score. Thus, it can be concluded that the result of pre test can not be classified yet to be the good ones.

The conclusion that we can based on the results of these test is not significant difference score between experiment and control class. Its mean that both of classes is normal.

b. Hypothesis test

The table 4.4 (see in appendix 4) which showed the student's score after getting the treatment in the form of post test. The post test was administered for 24 students of class IV A as an experiment class and 24 students of class IV B as a control class. The name of the students are coded into initial E for experiment class and initial C for control class.

Based on the data of table 4.5 (see appendix 5), for experiment class, there 3 students get excellent, 14 students get good score, 7 students get average score and no one of students get poor and very poor score. In other words, it is known that 12,5% students get excellent, 58,33% students get good, and 29,17% students get average. And for control class, there is also no one student get excellent, 2 students get good, 14 students get average score and 8 students get poor score. In other words, it is known that 8,33% students get good, 58,33% students get average score and 33,34% students get poor score. Thus, it can be concluded that the result of post test, no one students of experiment class get poor and very poor score.

vocabulary using alphabet game. Alphabet game here was a competition game to explore them to know as possible as the words.

The treatment was done in some steps. The first step was pre- vocabulary by sound the alphabet, this activity to activate students' schemata before get ready to mention the words in English.

The second is main activity, starting with divide the students into several group and each group consist of four students. Each group assigns a writer to write on the paper. The writer makes a chart on the paper. The teacher says a letter, for example the letter B. The other students in each group have to mention and dictate the word of the letter and writer will writes word "Bring, bag, banana, etc" on the paper. Then, each group must be write as possible as words in their paper. Groups get 10 points for each answer. The group with the most points wins.

The last step of data collection method was administering post-test. It was intended to measure students' vocabulary before the treatment was given. The researcher wanted to know whether or not there is any improvement on their achievement in vocabulary ability.

After the post test was administered, the researcher got the data in form of pre test and post test score. Then the data were analyzed by using paired sample related. The result of that analyze obtained that the mean of pre test was 54 for experiment class and 52,17 for control class. The value of $t_{count}=0,73$; with $df=46$, the value of 5% significant $t_{table}=2,02$ and 1% significant $t_{table} = 2,69$ ($2,02 > 0,73 < 2,69$). It means that there was no significant difference

