























Sari, Fitria analyzed the introduction of these written by linguistic students 2007 of English Department Airlangga University. She used 31 Theses written in 2007, but only 15 introduction section became the sample of this study. In her study, Sari analyzed the types of hedges and that most frequently appear in the introduction of these written.

In her study, Sari used Hyland's theory, Hinkel's theory 1997 as cited in Alkhars 2005, and Recski's theory 2005 as cited in Alkhars 2005 about hedges. In her study, She found of indicate that modal auxiliary verb are the most frequent devise used in the sample, which is followed by modal lexical verb, adverbial & adjectival and modal nouns. Her study has results that student used modal auxiliary verbs frequently which that the word *may* occur frequently, followed by *might*, *could*, and *would*.

Sari's study was similar to the researcher's study in terms of research topic. Both analyzed the type of hedges, but there is some different in their research focuses. First, Sari just focuses in type of hedges device that frequently occurred and the function of hedging devices frequently used in the Introductions of These Written by Linguistic Students 2007 of English Department, but the researcher analyzed not only the type of hedges, but also the function of hedges based on Coates's theory. Second differences, Sari took the data from the Thesis which used 31 these in introduction written in the field of academic writing, whereas the researcher's source data is from the movie. The last differences on use different theory, Sari analyzed the type of Hedges used Hyland's theory, Hinkel's theory 1997







the hedges in thesis abstracts to understand how the writers of these make their claims about their new finding, so more specifically as the significant difference between native and non-native English abstracts in M.A. these of using hedging devices. They used corpus of 300 M.A. thesis abstracts during year 2000 until 2013 were randomly selected from among two groups. 150 thesis abstracts written by native speaker British of English and 150 thesis abstracts written by non-native Iranian speaker. The result showed that the native English more used hedge than non-native Iranian speaker of English.

There are some similarity between Halabisaz, Pazhakh, and Shakibafar and researcher's study in terms of research topic. Both of them used hedge as their study. But, there also have difference both of them. The first, Halabisaz, Pazhakh, and Shakibafar analyzed about the significant difference between native and non-native English abstracts in M.A. these of using hedging devices. While the researcher, analyzed about the type and the function of hedges. The second, Halabisaz, Pazhakh, and Shakibafar used Crompton' (1997) taxonomy of hedge in categories. But, the researcher used the Salager-Meyer's theory to analyze the type of theory. The third, Halabisaz, Pazhakh, and Shakibafar used qualitative and quantitative method in their research. The researcher used qualitative method in her research. The last, Halabisaz, Pazhakh, and Shakibafar analyzed thesis in their study, while the researcher used movie as her research of hedges.