## REFERENCES

- Beach, Wayne. (1993). *Transitional regularities for 'casual' "Okay" usages*. Journal of Pragmatics 19: 325–352.
- Beckett, Samuel. (1994). Waiting for Godot: A Tragicomedy in Two Acts (Eng rev).retrieved from http://samuel-beckett.net/Waiting\_for\_Godot.html
- Bogdan, R. C., & Biklen, S. K. (1998). *Qualitative research for education: An introduction to theory and methods (3rd ed.)*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Clyne, Michael. (1994). *Inter-Cultural Communication at Work*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Dornyei, Zoltan. (2007). *Research Methods in Applied Linguistics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Duncan, Starkey. (2011). Some signals and Rules for Taking Speaking Turns in Conversation. Chicago: University of Chicago.
- Gardner, Rod. (2005). When Listeners Talk: Response Tokens and Listener Stance. Philadelphia: John Benjamin Publishing.
- Garratt, J.E. (2009). Significance of Adjacency Pairs as Building Blocks of Social Interaction. Colchester: University of Essex.
- Goodwin, Charles. (1986). Between and within: Alternative sequential treatments of continuers and assessments. Human Studies 9: 205–217.
- Guthrie, Anna M. (1997). On The Systematic Deployment of Okay and Mmhmm in Academic Advising Sessions. International Pragmatic Association. 7:3. 397-415.
- Jefferson, Gail. (1978). Sequential aspects of storytelling in conversation. In Schenkein (ed.) 219–248.
  - (1984). Notes on a systematic deployment of the acknowledgement tokens 'yeah' and 'mm hm'. Papers in Linguistics 17 (2): 197–216
- Lambertz, Kathrin. (2011). *Back-channeling: The use of* yeah *and* mm *to portray engaged listenership*. Griffith Working Papers in Pragmatics and Intercultural Communication 4, 1/2, 11-18.

- Litosseliti, Lia. (2010). *Research Method in Linguistics*. London: Continuum International Publishing Group.
- McCarthy, Michael. (1991). *Discourse Analysis*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
  - (2003). *Talking Back: "Small" Interactional Response Tokens in Everyday Conversation*. Nottingham: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. 36(1), 33–63.
- Miles, M.B. and A.M. Huberman. (1994). Qualitative Data Analysis, 2nd Edition. Thousand Oaks, Calif: Sage.
- Mullins, Joanna. (2012). Analysing Conversational Data with Regards to Interactional Structures: Turn-Taking and Adjacency Pairs, also Face and Authority. Colchester: University of Essex.
- Paltridge, Brian. (2006). Discourse Analysis An Introduction. London: Continuum.
- Pomerantz, Anita. (1984). Agreeing and disagreeing with assessments: Some features of preferred/dispreferred turn shapes. In Atkinson and Heritage (eds), 57–101.
- P.M. Clancy, Sandra A. Thompson, Ryoko Suzuki, Hyongyin Tao. (1996). *The Conversational Use of Reactive Tokens in English, Japan and Mandarin*. Journal of Pragmatic 26 pp.355-387. California: Elsevier Science.
- Richard M. Harris, Adam Kendon, Mary Ritchie Key. (1975). *The organization of behavior in face-to-face interaction*. The Netherlands: Mouton. pp.215-238
- Schegloff, Emanuel. (1982). Discourse as an interactional achievement: Some uses of 'uh huh' and other things that come between sentences. In Analyzing Discourse: Text and Talk, Deborah Tannen (ed.), 71-93. Washington: Gerogetown University Press.
- Schiffrin, Deborah. (1987). *Discourse Markers*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Schneider, Klaus P. (1988). Analyzing Phatic Discourse. Marburg: Hitzeroth.
- Tanaka, Hiroko. (1999). *Turn-taking in Japanese Conversation: A Study in Gramar and Interaction*. Philadelphia: John Benjamin Publishing.

Young and Lee. (2004). *Identifying Units in Interaction: Reactive Tokens in Korean and English Conversation*. Journal of Sociolinguistics 8/3, 380-407 Oxford: Blackwell Publishing.

Yngve, Victor. (1970). *On getting a word in edgewise*. Papers from the 6<sup>th</sup> Regional Meeting, Chicago Linguistics Society, April 16-18. Chicago: Chicago Linguistics Society.

 $http:/\!/en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Waiting\_for\_Godot$ 

