

## 2.1. Theoretical Framework

### 2.1.1. Psychoanalysis Theory

The statements above imply that literature and psychology are closely related because literature is the creation of psychological process and author's thought and imagination which is certainly influenced by psychological activity, and also because psychoanalysis itself is used as a tool to interpret literature. Besides, it also denotes that the interaction of conscious and unconscious elements in the mind is important in understanding human behavior.

The same thing prevails in analyzing the character in the story because the character is the reflection of human's life. The character presents a variety of personality and behavior which relate to psyche and psychological experience or problem which is felt by human in the real life (Minderop 1). Furthermore, in his book, Tyson says that Psychoanalysis can help better understand human behavior, and then it must certainly be able to help to understand literary texts, which are about human behavior (11).

The main part of psychoanalysis theory is introduced by Freud between 1890 and 1939. He is considered as the first person who maps human's unconscious mind. He believes that unconsciousness is determining factor of important and dynamic behavior (Semiu 55). This statement shows that all humans' behavior is unconsciously affected by unconscious mind. That is why, this part is very important to understand human behavior.

Tyson continues that the notion human beings are motivated, even driven, by desires, fears, needs, and conflicts of which they are unaware—that is, unconscious—was one of Sigmund Freud's most radical insights, and it still



The **id** (Freud's term: das Es) is the most basic system of personality including the innate instincts (Koeswara 32). It is located in unconscious mind which makes up the reservoir of pulsions and the source energy of psyche (Minderop 21). Automatically, id will funnel the energy which is needed by the other two systems of psyche to support their functions and operations.

In addition, Minderop explains that the function of id is related to the pleasure principle which always attempts to find the pleasure and hinder the unpleasant things (Minderop 21). In order to achieve the pleasure, it has two processes to support its function and operation. The following is the description of the two processes discussed by Koeswara:

things by shaping the shadow of the object which can decrease the unpleasant thing (33).

Though, for id, the object presented through the primary process is real, based on reality principle objectively, the object will not be able to decrease the unpleasant things in real because the object presented through primary process only happens in imagination, moreover it is not realistic. Thereby, the individual needs other system which can help to decrease the unpleasant thing in real. This system is nothing but ego (Koeswara 33).

The **ego** (Freud's term: das Ich), is located between conscious and unconscious mind which functions as the mediator which reconciles the demand of pulsions and the prohibition of superego (Minderop 21). The ego also gives a place on the main mental function such as reasoning, problem solving, and making a decision (22). Ego is like a leader who should know everything which is better for the individual and should be able to make a decision fairly and rationally.

Koeswara, then, strengthen that ego is the system of personality which acts as the manager who sets the direction for individual to the object's world of reality, and does its function based on the reality principle (33-34). This means that according to ego's direction, people who are hungry will think that the unpleasant thing that they feel because of hungry is only solved by eating.

However, the ego has secondary process functioned to achieve the pleasure or decrease the unpleasant thing in better and realistic way. Through this secondary process, ego formulates a plan for achieving a pleasure and examining whether this plan can be done or not. Thus, ego for the individual is not only seen





**Superego** (Freud's term: das Ueberich) is the system of personality which contain of values and rules which is evaluative (Koeswara 34-35). Superego is set up partly in conscious mind and another in unconscious mind whose function is to control and block the pleasure or satisfying of the complete pulsio which is the result of education and identification on parents (Minderop 21). Minderop continues that superego is like a conscience which recognizes a good and bad thing. Superego also refers to morality in the personality (22). In a simple way, superego always guides the individual to obey the rule and have a good moral.





One important thing connecting to motive is that motive cannot be observed immediately. Yet, motive can be inferred from the action or behavior which means that it is what people say and do. This statement shows that the action or behavior of the individual or organism is the object in recognizing a motive. In the other way, motive can help somebody to make an explanation and prediction of people's action or behavior (169).

Concerning on motive, Walgito explains that there are some theories proposed to give a description of the influence of internal and external factors. Those theories are instinct theory, drive theory, arousal theory, and incentive theory (171).

The first is **instinct theory**. Instinct is an innate condition which is driving to act if the individual or organism is up against a certain stimulus. It is stated from a long time ago in psychology that instinct is one of factors which is driving an action or behavior (Walgito 172). So, it can be said that instinct theory can explain why an individual or organism is acting or doing something.

The second is **drive theory**. This theory is based on the biological basic which is connected to a *drive* and *drive reduction*. It is as like what Freud explains that human's behavior is driven by *sexual* and *aggressive drive*, and as like what Hull discussed that human's behavior is for decreasing an unpleasant thing (Walgito 172). It explains that an action or a behavior is a way to decrease an unpleasant thing inside individual or organism.

The third is **arousal theory** which is known as *an optimal level theory* in which an individual or organism searches for an arousal or tension which is at an



college instructions in literary studies in the past several decades (Tyson 135). On the other hand, this practice or theory is still important and useful now to support students for doing literary studies.

New criticism is clearly characterized in premise and practiced: it is not concerned with context- historical, biographical, intellectual, and so on; it is not interested in fallacies of intention or affect ; it is concerned solely with the text in itself, with its language and its organization; it does not seek the text meaning, but how it speaks itself. (Selden, Widdowson, and brooker, 19).

The statement above denotes that new criticism does not focus on the context of historical, biographical, intellectual, and so on. However, it only concern on the text itself with its language and its organization. The text is the most crucial object to do literary studies. On the other hand, the term intentional fallacy and affective fallacy show that the meaning of literary text cannot be seen through the author's intention or reader's personal opinion.

There are two new critical essays in particular which are overtly theoretical and which have become influential texts more generally in modern critical discourse: the intentional fallacy and affective fallacy written by W. K. Wimsatt (Selden, Widdowson, and brooker 20 ).

The first essay argues that the design or intention of the author is neither available nor desirable as a standard for judging the success of a work of literary art, while the second essay argues that the effective fallacy represent a confusion between the poem and its results (21).

The only way to know that the author's intention and reader's response can represent the text's meaning is to carefully examine, or "closely read," all the evidence provided by the language of the text itself: its images, symbols, metaphors, rhyme, meter, point of view, setting, characterization, plot, and so





change—of attitude, of purpose, of behavior—as the story progresses ( DiYanni 54).

### 2.1.3.2. Characterization

Character and characterization are equally important in the story. Both of them relate each other, although they have a distinction. To understand the morality of character's behavior, the reader can see how the character is presented in the story. So, how the author presents or tells the character in the story is called characterization.

Characterization is the means by which writers present and reveal character. The method of characterization is narrative description with explicit judgment. It is given fact and interpretative comment. From both fact and comment the readers derive an impression of the character in the story (DiYani 55). This statement shows that the author usually give a fact and comment to reveal the characters in the story. So, the reader can understand them from the impression taken from the author's fact and comment about the characters.

In presenting and determining the nature of the character in a story, generally, the authors use two methods in their works. The first is direct method (telling) and the second is indirect method (showing). Telling method is using the description of character's nature on exposition and direct comment of the author. Usually, this method is used by fictive writers in past time—not modern fiction. Through this method the author's interfering in characterizing the character is so much felt, so that the readers understand the character based on author's description (Minderop in Minderop 77).



Besides, showing method (indirect method) denotes that the author puts himself/ herself in the outer of the story by giving an opportunity to the characters for presenting their character/ nature through *dialogue* and *action* (Pickering and Hoeper in Minderop 77).

## 2.2. Review of related studies

This research relates to theoretical aspect of a previous study titled *The Psychological Aspects of Bigger's Character in Richard Wright's Native Son* written by Khusnul Khotimah in 2004, from English Department, Faculty of Letters and Humanities, State Islamic University of Sunan Ampel Surabaya. The thesis discusses Bigger's Character in Richard Wright's *Native son* by mean of psychoanalysis theory which includes Freud's psychoanalysis and Motivation theory of Human behavior by Fryer and Morgan and King. The thesis attempts to uncover every psychological aspects of Bigger's character through his behavior. Meanwhile, this research has similar point with theory used in the thesis especially in psychoanalysis theory, although the object of the analysis is different in which this research tries to analyze Mathilde as character in *The Necklace* by Guy de Maupassant.

The next previous study is discussing about some critical essays concerning on *The Necklace* by Guy de Maupassant which is taken from the website of <http://www.bookrags.com/studyguide-necklace/>. The first essay comes from Pierce who is a Ph.D. candidate at the University of South Carolina, in the following essay, he comments upon the surprise ending in *The Necklace* and its correlation to the mystery genre, in the second essay, the critics examine

Maupassant's treatment of time in *The Necklace*, in which he alternates between dramatic action and narrative summary, the third essay is from O'Faolain who asserts that the cleverness of *The Necklace* lies not in the surprise ending but in its realistic portrayal of human relationships and society, the fourth essay comes from Steegmuller who maintains that the shock ending of *The Necklace* is the highlight of the story, condemning Maupassant's portrayal of relationships as vague and unconvincing and his plot as improbable. Steegmuller also asserts that while Maupassant has a reputation as a specialist in surprise endings, only a few of his stories actually conclude in this manner.

The fifth essay is from Bates who discusses Maupassant's ability to combine trick and tragedy into one, asserting that in *The Necklace* it is clear that the author was completely aware of the limitations of the surprise ending. Then, the sixth essay comes from Bement who offers an interpretation of Maupassant's development of the plot of *The Necklace*, believing he may have considered the implications of both greed and innocence to form his story. Most of essays above discusses about the surprise ending providing by Maupassant in the short story *The Necklace*, while this research tries to analyze Mathilde's character and her suffering.

In the first previous study, the difference lies on the literary work. This research tries to analyze *The Necklace* by Guy de Maupassant concerning on Mathilde as character and her suffering while the previous study tries to reveal Psychological Aspects of Bigger's Character in Richard Wright's *Native Son*. On the other hand, the similarity rests on the theory and the object of analysis. Both

of this research and the previous study apply psychoanalysis theory proposed by Freud as a way of analysis and both of them, similarly, struggle to understand the character of the story.

The difference of the second previous study is on the object of analysis. This research attempts to uncover Mathilde as character and her suffering while the essays take effort to discuss the surprise ending of the story. Besides, the similarity rests on the literary work. Both of this research and the essays are talking about *The Necklace* by Guy de Maupassant.

