

**CONSTRUCTING TEST ITEMS : PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS'  
CHALLENGES AND STRATEGIES**

**THESIS**

**Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Sarjana  
Pendidikan (S.Pd) in Teaching English**



**UIN SUNAN AMPEL  
S U R A B A Y A**

**By**

**Wilda Shofiarita**

**D05216034**

**ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT  
FACULTY OF TARBIYAH AND TEACHERS TRAINING  
UNIVERSITAS ISLAM NEGERI SUNAN AMPEL  
SURABAYA**

**2020**

## PERNYATAAN KEASLIAN TULISAN

Saya yang bertanda tangan dibawah ini:

Nama : Wilda Shofiarita  
NIM : D05216034  
Jurusan/Program Studi : Pendidikan Bahasa/Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
Fakultas : Tarbiyah dan Keguruan  
Judul Skripsi : **“Constructing Test Items: Pre-Service Teachers’ Challenges and Strategies”**

Menyatakan dengan sebenarnya bahwa skripsi yang saya buat ini benar benar merupakan hasil karya saya sendiri, bukan merupakan pengambil alihan tulisan atau pikiran orang lain yang saya akui sebagai hasil tulisan saya sendiri. Segala materi yang di ambil dari orang lain, hanya sebagai acuan untuk mengikuti tata cara serta etika penulisan karya ilmiah yang telah ditetapkan oleh jurusan.

Surabaya, 01 September 2020

Yang membuat pernyataan



**Wilda Shofiarita**

NIM : D05216034

## ADVISOR APPROVAL SHEET

This thesis by Wilda Shofiarita entitled “Constructing Test Items: Pre-Service Teachers’ Challenges and Strategies” has been examined by the advisors for the further approval by the board of examiners.

**Surabaya, 25 June 2020**

Advisor I,



**Fitriah, Ph. D**

**NIP. 197610042009122001**

Advisor II,



**Rakhmawati, M.Pd**

**NIP. 197803172009122002**

## EXAMINER APPROVAL SHEET

This thesis by Wilda Shofiarita entitled "Constructing Test Items: Pre-Service Teachers' Challenges and Strategies" has been examined by the advisors for the further approval by the board of examiners.



Dean,

Prof. H. Mas'ud, M.Ag. M.Pd.I  
NIP. 196301231993031002

The Board of Examiner  
Examiner I,

Hilda Izzati Madiid, MA  
NIP. 198602102011012012

Examiner II,

Rizka Safrivani, M.Pd  
NIP. 198409142009122005

Examiner III,

Fitriah, Ph.D  
NIP. 197610042009122001

Examiner IV,

Rakhmawati, M.Pd  
NIP. 197803172009122002



**KEMENTERIAN AGAMA**  
**UNIVERSITAS ISLAM NEGERI SUNAN AMPEL SURABAYA**  
**PERPUSTAKAAN**

Jl. Jend. A. Yani 117 Surabaya 60237 Telp. 031-8431972 Fax.031-8413300  
E-Mail: [perpus@uinsby.ac.id](mailto:perpus@uinsby.ac.id)

---

LEMBAR PERNYATAAN PERSETUJUAN PUBLIKASI  
KARYA ILMIAH UNTUK KEPENTINGAN AKADEMIS

Sebagai sivitas akademika UIN Sunan Ampel Surabaya, yang bertanda tangan di bawah ini, saya:

Nama : Wilda Shofiarita  
NIM : D05216034  
Fakultas/Jurusan : Tarbiyah dan Keguruan/Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris  
E-mail address : [shofiaritawilda@gmail.com](mailto:shofiaritawilda@gmail.com)

Demi pengembangan ilmu pengetahuan, menyetujui untuk memberikan kepada Perpustakaan UIN Sunan Ampel Surabaya, Hak Bebas Royalti Non-Eksklusif atas karya ilmiah :

Sekripsi  Tesis  Desertasi  Lain-lain (.....)  
yang berjudul :

CONSTRUCTING TEST ITEMS : PRE-SERVICE TEACHERS' CHALLENGES AND STRATEGIES

beserta perangkat yang diperlukan (bila ada). Dengan Hak Bebas Royalti Non-Eksklusif ini Perpustakaan UIN Sunan Ampel Surabaya berhak menyimpan, mengalih-media/format-kan, mengelolanya dalam bentuk pangkalan data (database), mendistribusikannya, dan menampilkan/mempublikasikannya di Internet atau media lain secara **fulltext** untuk kepentingan akademis tanpa perlu meminta ijin dari saya selama tetap mencantumkan nama saya sebagai penulis/pencipta dan atau penerbit yang bersangkutan.

Saya bersedia untuk menanggung secara pribadi, tanpa melibatkan pihak Perpustakaan UIN Sunan Ampel Surabaya, segala bentuk tuntutan hukum yang timbul atas pelanggaran Hak Cipta dalam karya ilmiah saya ini.

Demikian pernyataan ini yang saya buat dengan sebenarnya.

Surabaya, 5 September 2020

Penulis

( Wilda Shofiarita )



























item preparation that can influence its quality. Those are employing an appropriate item format, the level of words and determining the optimal number of response alternatives.

Language Testing and Assessment (LTA) course is obligated for pre-service teachers before they get microteaching class. It is important to be learned because in LTA class, pre-service teachers learn about some topics related with assessment. The topics learned in LTA class are the relation between teaching and testing, kinds of testing, validity and reliability in language testing, skills in designing test specification, understanding the concept of assessment in all skills. By the end of this course, students are expected to understand the fundamental concepts in language testing, understand approaches and techniques in constructing language testing and do a critical analysis on certain used tests.

Not only understanding topics about testing but pre-service teachers also have to understand other things must be done while teaching. Pre-service teachers must understand some things which are important before teaching, such as designing a lesson plan. Designing lesson plan here means setting the objectives, preparing materials, and choosing an appropriate strategy. During the learning, pre-service teachers can also give score from students' performance in the class. Pre-service teachers must also understand what must be evaluated from the materials and what must be tested to the students. That's why having an ability to construct good test items is important for pre-service teachers. Pre-service teachers also must have a strategy to construct good test



















































Sartika.<sup>42</sup>Her study observed on the quality of test items used in semester examination. The purposes of this research were to decide whether the quality of English semester test items fulfilled the criteria of a good test or not. The results were determined that 10 poor items, 24 satisfactory items, 12 good items, 3 negative items, 1 excellent items. While according to the level of difficulty were determined that 17 easy items, 16 difficult items, and 17 average items. The third study was revealed by Arinal Rahman.<sup>43</sup> This study focussed on describing the quality of test items in final examination at PPB based on validity, reliability, item difficulty, item discrimination, and distractor efficiency. The researcher used document analysis technique to analyze the data. The results showed that the test items in final examination at PPB were very good.

The next previous studies is about analyzing questions made by teacher. There are two previous studies discussed about it. The first was done by Zuliati Rohmah and the second was done by Yumna Shaumu. The study by Zuliati Rohmah was aimed to discuss English teacher's questions in reading class at MAN III Malang. This is non participant observation. The researcher collected the data by the researcher itself and also field notes. The result shows that the questions that distributed by teacher did not allow students to talk longer and have critical thinking. The study by Yumna Shaumu was discussed about analyzing the constructions of multiple-choice items, particularly the multiple-

---

<sup>42</sup>Nur Sartika, Thesis: *"An Analysis of Test Items Based on the Criteria of Good Tests. (Bandar Lampung: Lampung University, 2015).*

<sup>43</sup>Arinal Rahman, Thesis: *"An analysis of test items quality in English final examination at Language Development Center"*(Banjarmasin: IAIN Antasari 2016)





















To describe the challenges in constructing test items, the researcher interviewed 5 pre-service teachers who took language assessment course. The results of interview indicated that pre-service teachers encountered several challenges when constructing test items for the final project of language assessment course. The challenges are categorized in three aspects: knowledge and experience, language, and source.

The first aspect is knowledge and experience. Pre-service teachers defined knowledge and experience as background knowledge in constructing test items. Background knowledge means the level of knowledge the participants who will complete the test. Experience refers to participants' experience in designing test before taking language assessment course. The second aspect is language. Language refers to participants' ability in writing good sentences for test items. This aspect is important as when pre-service teachers were able to construct good sentences, students could understand the test easily. The third aspect is resource. It relates to ability in finding appropriate resources for constructing test items.

The challenges are divided into two categories such as challenges in multiple choice questions and challenges in essay questions. The results of



To gain the data about pre-service teachers' challenges, the researcher did interview sections with pre-service teachers. There are 17 items for the interview questions. The type of interview questions is open-ended questions (*see Appendix 1*). The result of pre-service teachers' interview related to challenges is explained below completed with pre-service teachers' utterances to support the data.

**a. Knowledge and Experience**

Pre-service teachers' challenges in knowledge and experience is defined as their challenges in four points, those are: making rubric for open-ended questions, including all skills in the test, deciding the level of difficulty, and designing test creatively. Moreover, it is also related with their experience in assessment area. Some of them stated that they had experience in designing test but they did not know how to design test correctly. This statement indicated that some participants construct test item without understanding about assessment knowledge. The detail information will be explained below.

The first challenges in knowledge and experience is making rubric for open-ended questions. This is the most common challenges faced by participants. Participant 4 argued that information about























be stated in the description with the statement as proof. The first strategy was checking the syllabus or material. This strategy was used by all participants except participant 2 and participant 3. The first strategy used by participant 2 was outlining. Outlining means list kind of questions will be written and kinds of materials will be included in the test. While, participant 3 was making the rubric then checking the material. Based on the result of interview, pre-service teachers argued that checking the material or syllabus can help them to design test based on students' background knowledge. While participant 3 stated that making the rubric in the beginning can help in designing test easily.

*“I make rubric first. Then, I choose questions from my references which appropriate with the topic. For example, the rubric is about listening skill so the question is about listening. Then, I choose the topic.”* (Participant 3)

*“Mmm.. I make outline first. So, I list what materials will be included in the test and what kind of questions will be included in the test”* (Participant 2)

*“First, I look at basic competence or material in that level. Then, I divide the number like one material can be for 5 numbers. For example, the material is recount then I decide how many recount will be in my test”* (Participant 4)

*“The first thing is checking the material. It means I check what materials have been learned by students. Then I do note taking in the list of material. It makes me easier to decide what materials will be in my test”* (Participant 1)

The second strategy used by most of pre-service teachers' was looking for some references such as from internet or book. Some of pre-service teachers argued that by looking some references they can get many ideas such as ideas of questions, text, kind of test, and etc. However, participant 2 stated that deciding kind of questions is the second strategy then looking some references is the next strategy. Besides, pre-service teachers argued that these references will be adapted in the test. Some of them preferred to adapt test from internet while others preferred to adapt from book. There were some reasons that make them do adapting. First, some of them argued that it is because the limitation of the time given by lecturer for designing the test. Next, most of them did adapting for the text or audio and participant 1 stated that there will be a new problem if making the text by himself. Similarly, other participants said that it is impossible to create the audio by themselves because they were afraid of making mistakes in the pronunciation.

*“I decide kind of questions will be used by me such as fill in the blank, synonym, or antonym. Then I look some references from internet that will be used in my test. I used references which have been implemented in the school because it is trusted. I adapt because I forget the specific lesson in senior high school” (Participant 2)*







































- Osterlind Steven J. *Constructing Test Items: Multiple-choice, Constructed-Response, Performance, and Other Formats*. New York: Kluwer Academic Publishers,2002.
- Rahman, Arinal., Thesis: “*An analysis of test items quality in English final examination at Language Development Center*”. Banjarmasin: IAIN Antasari 2016
- Rea-Dickens and Germanie, 1993; Genesee and Upshur, 1996; O’Mally and Valdez-Pierce, 1996.
- Sartika, Nur., Thesis: “*An Analysis of Test Items Based on the Criteria of Good Tests*. Bandar Lampung: Lampung University, 2015.
- Shaumu, Yumnaa., Thesis: “*An Analysis of Multiple Choice Items of Computer Based English Test at Smp Alkhairiyah Surabaya*”.Surabaya: UINSA 2019.
- Suharsini Arikunto. *Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek*. Jakarta : Rineka Cipta,2002.
- Toynbee, J. Arnold. *A Study of History*. London: Oxford University Press, 1987.
- Umami, Risalatil., Thesis: “*Students Ability in Constructing Reading Question Items in Critical Reading Class*”.Surabaya: UINSA, 2016.
- Uwe Flick. *An Introduction to Qualitative Research*, 4<sup>th</sup> ed. Los Angeles: Sage Publications, 2009.