

because of their relationship. The students have close relationship among each other. The relationship helps the students downgrade the responsibility, and on the other hand, the offenses that they have done are categorized as not serious ones. Therefore, the students are easy to downgrade the responsibility of the offense. And then, the writer tries to explain the possible purpose in the conversation by English Department students of the apologizing strategies based on Bergman and Kesper theory.

4.1.2 The Possible Purpose of apologizing strategies

The writer explains the possible purpose of apologizing strategies in the conversation by the English Department students of state Islamic University Sunan Ampel. As stated in the scope and limitation that all the data only selects in apologizing strategy that occur in English Department students. In addition, all of the possible purposes below based on the utterances by English Department students. From the table above, the writer finds that English Department students use various kinds of apologizing strategies in apologizing to their friends. The writer classifies the data in apologizing based on Bergman and Keeper's theory (1993) in apologizing. The writer finds various data that relate to the apologizing strategies based on Bergman and Kesper (1993).

4.1.2.1 The Possible Purpose of Using IFID

In the first strategy, the writer finds six data in apologizing that are used by the English department students. The students express their feeling of regret explicitly by uttering "sorry". In the data, the writer finds examples such as "sorry

strategies in taking on responsibility strategy. They are self blame, lack of intent, and admission of fact. In the third strategy, the writer finds admission of fact as a sub strategy that mostly used by the students. For instance, “Lindy sits here. Sorry Deco I forget to look a chair for you “, when the students make mistakes that cause an offense; the students are easily apologized by admitting her or his offense by explaining the real situation that they face.

The possible purpose of this sub strategy is the students try to tell the truth about the situation that can offend the hearer. The students are easy to admit the fact because the relationships that they build for almost four years among the other and the offense that occurs are not too seriously so they admit the fact. On the other hand, speaker sometimes admits the fact that causes an offense by body language. The speaker admits the offense then the speaker smiles to the hearer. This is done by the speaker in order to appease the speaker’s condition.

Another sub strategy in apologizing strategy is used by English Department students is lack of intent. For instance, “Hendry, I don’t have any intention”. The possible purpose of this sub strategy is the speaker shows to the hearer that she does not want to disturb her friend’s condition that her friend at the time is fasting. The speaker explains to the hearer that she does not have intention to tempt the hearer in order their relationship can be maintained well.

Another sub strategy is self blame. The writer does not find any data that relates to this sub strategy because the offense that occur among English Department students are light offenses, means that the offense is not too serious.

people's feeling, such as offending someone else, neglecting his or her duty, or causing trouble that will damage the relationship. Apologizing is needed to repair relationship. By using apologizing, people can give a clear reason why they do a mistake. Misunderstanding sometimes occurs in the conversation with the others. When misunderstanding occurs and hurts or violates someone, his/her face needs to be restored, so in the conversation, the terms of apologizing begin to occur.

The writer tries researching about apologizing strategies used by English Department of Sunan Ampel State Islamic University. Regarding the research was done by Rohmania (2009) she was an English Department Student in State University of Malang, she also conducted apologizing strategies used by English Department students based on Social status and Social distance. This thesis is a socio-pragmatic study about the apologizing strategies used by English Department Students of State University of Malang to nine people based on social status and social distance differences. The social status here includes lower-, equal-, and higher- status whereas the social distance includes an intimate person, a familiar person, and a stranger. According to Rohmania, it can be seen that social status and social distance does influence the respondents in using apologizing strategies. The more intimate the respondents, the stronger feeling of apologizing of their offence. The higher the status of the hearer it can appear stronger feeling of apologizing for their offence and the more polite expression used. In this study, the data were collected by using a Discourse Completion Test (DCT) modified from Wouk (2006). By using DCT, getting large numbers of respondents and collecting the data, and constructing to account for variation in

speech act realization determined by social status and social distance and combining all possible variables (social status and social distance) can be done easily. In my opinion, Rohmania's data is invalid because in her research she finds out the data through Discourse Completion Test (DCT) which is given to respondent. Moreover, people know that DCT does not reflect the occurring speech naturally, so that the data is not pure conversation which is spoken by the speaker.

In this study, the writer wants to develop the research before. The writer analyzes Apologizing Strategies used by English department students. The data is taken naturally and differently with the research before; the writer takes the data from conversation that occurs in English Department students, so that the data is more valid and natural. The writer not only takes the data from her women friend's, but also from some her men friend's; so that the data is more complete than the research before.

The writer would like to reveal this research by some previous studies as the reference which it is entitled Apologizing Strategies Used by English Department Students of Sunan Ampel State Islamic University. Hereby, the writer does an effort to analyze by using the strategies from the theory of Bergman and Kesper.

All of the data is collected from the student of English department from several classes where sometimes there are some students who speak Indonesia. Besides, the writer explains about the possible purposes of apologizing strategies based on the related conversation.

In this analysis that is done by the writer, there are thirty one data in apologizing. The writer finds there are seven sub strategies that used by English department students of State Islamic University of Sunan Ampel Surabaya in the eighth semesters. They are lack of intent, admission of fact, excuse, justification, problematizing a precondition, denial, and effort to appease, the writer finds that apologizing strategy that is mostly used by the students is Downgrading responsibility. After that, the writer tries to explain the possible purpose of the apologizing strategies based on conversation the English Department students.

The writer is so unpleased for the result of recording is not clear enough because the writer does all the recordings using cell phone. Therefore, it took a while for the writer to transcribe into a data. There are four strategies which the writer unable to elaborate in this research. They are self blame, claiming ignorance, concern for offended party, and promise forbearance that is caused by the limited time and condition matters of eighth semester. Because, there is no conversations indicate to the situation that related to their sub strategies.

In another hand, there are different focuses in my research among other researches done before. Fajar Suryadi (2007) also conducted research about Apologizing Strategies used by Students of Petra University, Selvy Gunawan (2008) also conducted research about Apologizing Strategies used by Female Tenant in Boarding House, Ayubaidah Fitrahnanda (2014) also conducted research about A Study on Gender-Based on Differences in Apologizing Strategies of English Department Students in Campus Setting.

“ For those who ever done cruel things to his brother which is related to his respect or something else, so he should ask to be acquitted, before dinar and dirham will not be used again (when doomsday comes): when he has good deed, it will be taken as much as cruel things he had ever been done. And if he/her has no more good deed, the will sin from his/her brother will be given to him/her. (Al-Bukhari)”

From this hadist, it can be concluded that as human being they should keep the relationship each other. Therefore, if somebody has a mistake they have to correct their mistake by apologizing. It also in the social value apologizing strategies is needed to repair relationship. By using apologizing, people can give a clear reason why they do a mistake. They must also concern about the offense or fault that they have done. After knowing what the mistake is, they must be responsible and be regretful for it and ask for an apologizing. Guilty feelings can also lead us to apologize to the person that we do not intentionally.

By the end of this line, this thesis is intended to give knowledge of many ways in apologizing. By the end of this research, the writer hopes this thesis can give a good knowledge for those who read and mean to reveal more about apologizing strategies.